Phonological Movement in Ukrainian Victoria Teliga^a, Brian Agbayani^b, Chris Golston^b London^{*a*}; California State University, Fresno^{*b*}

Introduction

Ukrainian scrambles only prosodic objects, it ignores syntactic principles, and it respects phonological ones.

Prosodic constituents scramble in a prosodic tree after syntactic structure is converted to prosodic structure. (*Phonological Movement*)

Data I: Scrambling Insensitive to Syntax

Scrambling is category-blind and blind to the head/phrase distinction. It moves strings that do not form syntactic constituents.

| vonazavdannjajavpevnena, ščo $[t_a$ [vykonaje t_b]]she-NOM task-ACCIam.surethatperform-FUT'I'm sure that she will perform the task.''I'm sure that she will perform the task.'Scrambling ignores robust syntactic islands. | (1) |
|---|-----|
| The Coordinate Structure Constraint (Ross 1967): | |
| <i>mašynu</i> a maje [<i>t_a</i> i <i>kvartyru</i>] car-ACC has and apartment-ACC 'has a car and an apartment' | (2) |
| The Left Branch Condition (Ross 1967): | |
| $taku_a$ vona spivala [t_a [garnu $pisn^iu$]]such-ACC shesangbeautiful-ACC song-ACC'She sang such a beautiful song.' | (3) |
| Adjunct Islands (Huang 1982) | |
| <i>v riznyx_a</i> meškajuť <i>t_a mistah</i> in different.PREP.PL they.live.3PL cities.PREP.PL 'They live in different cities.' | (4) |
| Freezing Islands (Wexler and Culicover 1980) | |
| vidsotkivb $[na dvadc'at' t_b]_a$ zris t_a riven'percent.GN.PLontwentyincreased.3level.M.NOM'The level increased by twenty percent'increased.3level.M.NOM | (5) |
| Anti-Locality (Grohmann 2002) | |
| <i>duže</i> v <i>t_a tisnyh stosunkah</i> very in close.PREP.PL relationship.PREP.PL 'in very close relationship' | (6) |

| Scrambling is LF-blind, and insensitive to binding: | Analysis |
|--|--|
| [<i>sebe_i</i>] ja _{<i>i</i>} pro ce ves ^{<i>j</i>} čas pytaju t | Three-part serial model: |
| self-ACC I about this-ACC all time ask | Syntax [_{VP} [_V vidislala], [_{NP} lysta] |
| 'I ask myself about this all the time.' (7) | Interface $((vidislala_{\omega}) (lysta_{\omega})_{\phi})_{\phi}$ |
| It can move all, part, or none of a focus or topic (Fanselow and Laner- tova 2012: Czech, German), and it splits names and compounds: | $\downarrow Phonology ((lysta_{\omega})_{\phi} (vidislala_{\omega}))_{\phi} $ (14) |
| | Constraints determining word order in XPs (Selkirk 1995) |
| Olenuaja s ^j ogodni zustriv[taVerbyc ^j ku]Olena-ACCI todaymetVerbyc'ka-ACC'Today I met Olena Verbyc'ka.'(8) | ALIGNR(X^0 , ω): The right edge of every lexical X^0 is aligned with that of a ω . ALIGNR(ω , X^0): The right edge of every ω is aligned with that of a lexical X^0 . ALIGNR(XP, ϕ): |
| | The right edge of every lexical XP is aligned with that of a ϕ . (15) |
| $v_a \ \check{s}koli_b$ vin navčavsja [$t_a [t_b \ internati$]]in school-PREP he studiedboarding-PREP'He studied in a boarding-school.'(9) | P P Q NP |
| Data II: Scrambling Sensitive to Phonology | AdjP N |
| Scrambled strings form (recursive) prosodic words and phonological phrases even when they are not (contiguous) X°s or XPs. | $(u (bahat^{j}ox)_{\omega})_{\omega}(\check{c}ervonyx)_{\omega}(budynkax)_{\omega}$ $(in (many)_{\omega})_{\omega} (red)_{\omega} (houses)_{\omega}$ (16) |
| | Constraint against movement |
| ((<i>vona</i>) (<i>zavdannja</i>)) ja vpevnena, ščo vykonaje she-NOM task-ACC I am.sure that perform-FUT 'I'm sure that she will perform the task.' (10) | STAYω: No daughter of ω moves. (17) Outranks constraints requiring movement |
| | PROML: Prominent material occurs to the left of its interface position. PROM: Maximally prominent material is initial in 1. (18) |
| Polysyllabic prepositions can scramble, but not monosyllabic ones: | ι PROM: Maximally prominent material is initial in ι . (18) |
| (<i>Protiagom</i>) vony zustričalys ^j <i>lita</i> during they met summer-GEN 'They were seeing each other during the summer.' (11) | $(\underbrace{\mathbf{u}} (bahat^{j}ox)_{\omega})_{\omega} (\check{c}ervonyx)_{\omega} (budynkax)_{\omega}$ $(\underbrace{in} (many)_{\omega})_{\omega} (red)_{\omega} (houses)_{\omega}$ (19) |
| | |
| Scrambling is blocked if it brings together homophonous function words, but allowed in otherwise identical contexts (OCP/*ECHO): | * u červonyx vin žyv <u></u> bahat ^j ox budynkax in red he lived many houses 'He lived in many red houses.' (cf. Fery et al. 2007:24) ₍₂₀₎ |
| * <i>Tomu</i> (tomu čolovikovi) vona ne mogla dovirjaty that's.why that-GEN man-GEN she not could trust-INF 'That's why she couldn't trust <i>that man</i> .' (12) | $(u \ (bahat^{j}ox)_{\omega})_{\omega} \ (cervonyx)_{\omega} \ (budynkax)_{\omega}$ $(in \ (many)_{\omega})_{\omega} \ (red)_{\omega} \ (houses)_{\omega}$ |
| <i>Tomu</i> (<i>tij</i> žinci) vona ne mogla dovirjaty that's.why that-GEN woman-GEN she not could trust-INF | (21) |
| 'That's why she couldn't trust <i>that woman</i> .' (13) | <mark>u bahat^jox</mark> vin žyv <u> </u> červonyx budynkax in many he lived red houses |
| Scrambling is immune to syntactic constraints because <i>it applies to Pwd and PPh in the Phonological Component</i> . | 'He lived in many red houses.' (22) |
| | |

2015 Annual Meeting on Phonology

Vancouver October 9 - 11

Email: bagbayan@csufresno.edu Email: chrisg@csufresno.edu

Conclusions

- prosodic word.
- anything syntactic.
- (Irish)).

Future Research

References



Linguistics

• Phonology plays a direct role in how scrambling works in Ukrainian. • So-called Split-scrambling (Sekerina 1997) is movement of a

• XP-scrambling is movement of a phonological phrase.

• Scrambling is completely phonological and makes no reference to

• Prosodic constituents are scrambled within a purely prosodic tree after all syntactic structure has been converted into prosodic structure, following recent work on phonological movement (Agbayani and Golston 2010 (Classical Greek); Agbayani et al. 2015 (Japanese); Agbayani and Golston to appear (Latin); Bennett et al. to appear

• Eliminates the need for a pragmatic component that can permute word order after syntax (cf. Kallestinova 2007).

• The application of phonological scrambling results in reassignment of prosodic structure. Thus, phonological movement is not structure-preserving in the Phonological Component (Teliga 2011), unlike its syntactic counterpart (cf. Emonds 1976). This is a largely unstudied distinction between syntax and phonology.

• So-called 'Prosodic Recontouring' – in which material remains in situ but with altered prosodic structure – can apparently alternate with scrambling, yielding the same pragmatic effects (Mykhaylyk 2010). Potentially this is optionality within the Phonological Component between two operations that affect prosodic structure.

Agbayani, Golston.to appear. Phonological constituents and their movement in Latin. *Phonology* Agbayani, Golston.2010. Phonological movement in Classical Greek. Language 86.1. 133-167. Agbayani, Golston, Ishii.2015.Syntactic and prosodic scrambling in Japanese. NLLT 33.1. 47-77. Antonyuk-Yudina, Mykhaylyk.2013. Prosodic effects in word order. Proceedings NELS. Bašić.2004. Nominal subextraction and the structure of NP in Serbian and English. Tromsø diss. Bennett, Elfner, McCloskey.to appear. Lightest to the right. Linquistic Inquiry.

Bošković.2005. On the locality of LBE and the structure of NP. Studia Linguistica 59. 1-45. Féry, Paslawska, Fanselow.2007. Nominal split constructions in Ukrainian. JSlavLS 15.1. 3-48. Huang.1982. Logical relations in Chinese and the theory of grammar. MIT diss.

Kariaeva.2009. Radical discontinuity: syntax at the interface. Rutgers diss.

Mykhaylyk.2010. Optional object scrambling in child and adult Ukrainian. Stony Brook diss. Mykhaylyk.2012. Change in prosody as an alternative. Penn Working Papers in Linguistics. Pereltsvaig.2008. Split phrases in colloquial Russian. Studia Linguistica 62. 5–38.

Ross.1967. Constraints on variables in syntax. MIT diss.

Sekerina.1997. The syntax and processing of scrambling constructions in Russian. CUNY diss. Teliga.2011.Phonological Movement in Ukrainian. California State Univ., Fresno, MA thesis.