

# Continuity of Thomae's Function

Bader N. Alahmad\*

Let  $\mathbb{N} = \{1, 2, \dots\}$ ,  $\mathbb{R} = \mathbb{I} \cup \mathbb{Q}$ , where  $\mathbb{I}$  is the set of irrational numbers (uncountable), and  $\mathbb{Q}$  is the set of rational numbers (countable). Further let  $\mathbb{Q}_+$  be the set of positive rational numbers, in addition to 0.

Thomae's function  $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}_+$  is defined as

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{n} & \text{if } x = \frac{m}{n} \in \mathbb{Q} \text{ ( } n > 0, \text{ and } m, n \text{ have no common divisors)} \\ 0 & x \notin \mathbb{Q} \text{ ( } x \in \mathbb{I} \text{)} \end{cases}$$

We show that Thomae's function is continuous at every irrational point  $x \in \mathbb{I}$ .

Our goal then is to show that for given  $p \in \mathbb{I}$  and given  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exists  $\delta > 0$  such that  $|f(x) - f(p)| < \epsilon$  for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}$  for which  $|x - p| < \delta$ .

The Archimedean property of the reals states that, for  $x, y$  reals,  $x > 0$ , there exists  $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $nx > y$ . Thus given  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exists  $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $n_0\epsilon > 1$ .

Next we show the following

**Lemma 1.** *Given  $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  and reals  $a, b$ ,  $0 \leq a < b < \infty$ , there are only finitely many rationals  $x \in (a, b)$ , where  $x = m/n$ ,  $\gcd(m, n) = 1$ , such that  $0 < n \leq n_0$ .*

*Proof.* If rational  $x = m/n$  with  $n > 0$  is in  $(a, b)$ , then  $a \leq m/n$ , so  $an_0 \leq m < n$ . Also  $m/n \leq b$ , so  $m \leq nb \leq n_0b$ . Then  $an_0 \leq m \leq n_0b$ , so a finite number of integers  $m$  can exist in the interval  $(an_0, n_0b)$ ; but  $(an_0, n_0b) \supset (a, b)$ , so a finite number of integers  $m$  may exist in the interval  $(a, b)$ . But we require that  $0 < n \leq n_0$ , so there are only finitely many  $n > 0$  with this property (in particular, there are  $n_0$  such  $n$ s). Since the maximum number of  $m$ s and  $n$ s is finite, we can form at most a finite number of distinct pairings of  $m$  and  $n$  (rational numbers)  $m/n$  in  $(a, b)$ .  $\square$

Given the lemma above, and given  $p \in \mathbb{I}$  and  $\epsilon > 0$ , the interval  $(p - 1, p + 1)$  contains at most finitely many rationals  $m/n$  with  $0 < n \leq n_0$ . Then we can choose  $0 < \delta < 1$  such that  $(p - \delta, p + \delta) \subset (p - 1, p + 1)$  contains no rationals whose denominator  $n$  is at most  $n_0$ ; i.e., for every  $m/n \in (p - \delta, p + \delta)$  with  $n > 0$  and  $\gcd(m, n) = 1$ ,  $n > n_0$ .

Now for  $x \in (p - \delta, p + \delta)$  (or  $|x - p| < \delta$ ): if  $x$  is rational,  $x = m/n$ ,  $n > 0$  and  $\gcd(m, n) = 1$ , then by the choice of  $\delta$ ,  $n > n_0$ . Therefore  $|f(x) -$

---

\*Ph.D. student, Department of Electrical and Computer Engineering, University of British Columbia, Vancouver, BC, Canada; bader@ece.ubc.ca.

$|f(p)| = |1/n - 0| = 1/n < 1/n_0 < \epsilon$ . If  $x$  is irrational,  $|x - p| < \delta$  implies  
 $|f(x) - f(p)| = |0 - 0| = 0 < \epsilon$ .