Project Context

The reason for considering the Open Source Moodle system for our remote, First Nations school stems from the fact that Gr. 5–12 teachers find the limited bandwidth and poor connectivity a significant issue when trying to deliver any online components of their courses. Due to on-going bandwidth, we need to look at hosting the Moodle LMS on the school server. The GNWT in Yellowknife is currently replacing the ESIS student information system, so linkage into this system is not a concern at this time. As Bates & Poole (2003) say, “technology–based teaching needs an effective organizational system to make it feasible and practical” (p.102). Most students have internet access and mobile technologies. There is no cell phone service, but the school and community have WiFi. The school has a computer lab, laptops, and all classes have Smart boards or whiteboard projector systems.

Project Goal

Moodle will offer a way of scaffolding our teaching and learning towards providing students access to multimedia technologies to develop the computer skills, collaboration, high level thinking, and problem solving skills they will need for future education and careers in the global culture (Bates, 2000). It is hoped that this pilot project will lead to adoption of a similar model in other schools within our Board and possibly within the larger NWT context.
Project Objectives

- Support and extend constructivist best practices: Our teaching aligns with the ‘Seven Principles’ of Chickering & Gamson (1987), but Moodle will extend and support collaboration, active learning, critical thinking, with variable ways of representing knowledge for teaching, learning and assessing (Dougiamas & Taylor, 2003). “If the power of new technologies is to be fully realized, they should be employed in ways consistent with the Seven Principles.” (Chickering & Ehrmann, 1996, p.1)

- Staff PD in technology skills: Support peer mentoring, case studies, and class lab demonstrations to share successful course strategies. (Moore, Moore, & Fowler, 2012).

- Develop student skills: Integrated development of language and technology skills, meaningful learning, and team skills in a blended online/f2f learning environment (Bates, 2000; Carey and Morgan, 2009; ECAR Survey, 2011; iste.org).

- Authentic assessment: Meaningful feedback using project-based learning, quizzes, e-portfolios, rubrics.


- Encourage reflective practice: Teachers and students participate in the development of effective courses (Hutchings, 2010, p. 9).

- Support aboriginal language instruction: archive resources, design language activities.
As Chickering and Ehrmann (1996) say “the range of technologies that encourage active learning is staggering” (p.3). Given our remote context, we need to explore the potential of Moodle technology to support learning and access to the vast learning resources now available.

**Rationale for Moodle Selection**

The main driver in our situation is cost, so the evaluation process has focused exclusively on Open Source LMS - Moodle and Sakai. Our process has followed the SECTIONS Model of Bates and Poole (2003), used evaluation rubrics (Edutools) and LMS evaluation reports from other institutions (Randall, Sweetin, & Steinbeiser, 2010) considering ease of use, intuitive and consistent interface design, reliability, and tools for course design, assessment, and interactivity (Bates & Poole, 2003; Perkins & Pfaffman, 2006). Our LMS Evaluation Summary has been made available for comments and feedback from school staff, and Board technology and administrative staff. Moodle is recommended in all desired areas.

In line with our current practice, Moodle supports social-constructivist strategies of teaching and learning. Based on pedagogical research, Moodle uses tools like discussion forums, wikis, e-portfolios, blogs and whiteboard workspaces to support collaborative, active learning and ‘communities of practice’ (Dougiamas and Taylor, 2003).

Moodle is recognized worldwide for its reliability, functionality, and flexibility as an online learning environment (Hutchings 2010, p.8; moodle.org; Al-Ajlan and Zedan, 2008).
Moodle makes it easy for teachers to create or change course content, and track student progress with timely feedback. Teachers should not have to spend all their time learning to use the system or trying to make it work (Bates & Poole, p. 91; Perkins & Pfaffman, 2006).

The system is easy to install on the local server, and tech support from the Board office, staff tech person and the online Moodle support community will ensure maintenance and update with minimal cost and time (Bates & Poole, p. 103).

Projected Costs

Moodle is a free open source system. The school has a brand new server, UPS, running Windows with large storage capacity. Installation of the Apache/MySQL server/database and program for Moodle is relatively intuitive. The Board provides IT support mostly through remote access, though occasional in-person support is available at no cost to the school. We anticipate Board support to install and configure the Moodle system on our local server. Our staff IT person would be responsible for local support. Release time of 4 hours/week time for routine support for course system and staff will cost: $200/week x 36 weeks = $7,200.00/yr. There will be eventual savings in photocopying and prep costs over 3 years as teachers move toward Moodle-based active learning. Cost for Moodle course development will be minimal, integrated into teacher PD supported by the Board several times a year, or in school time, covered internally.
Implementation Plan - Timeline

This will be a three-year pilot to undertake proper planning to avoid unnecessary fad-driven investments of time and money (Bates and Poole, 2003, p.82).

Fall 2012

- Install and configure Moodle with one or two demonstration courses.
- Staff PD on course implementation in classroom lab situations.
- Initial evaluations with staff, students, administration, Board.

Winter 2013

- Staff PD on Course design: group collaboration, two more courses
- Course delivery – peer support, classroom labs
- Year-end Evaluation: Qualitative and quantitative evaluation of Moodle re student learning using ongoing school data and NETS (itse.org; Ehrmann, 2011).
- Recommend any teaching strategy or course design changes.
  
  Spring 2015 Final evaluation.
References


http://www.educause.edu/apps/er/erm00/articles005/erm0053.pdf

Carey, S., & Morgan, T. (2009). From open content to open course models: Increasing access and enabling global participation in higher education. International Review of Research in Open and Distance Learning, 10(5). Retrieved from:


iste: NETS for students, teachers, administrators. Retrieved from:

http://www.iste.org/standards.aspx


http://www.educause.edu/Resources/EducatingtheNetGeneration/FacultyDevelopmentfortheNetGen/6071


http://20100829131520_6025161.webstarts.com

