



Who benefits

- In what professional or academic settings does "learning" of ANY kind happen?
- Think pair share
- Examples:
 - Determine scope of a project with a client
 - Presenting a seminar
 - Discuss a research challenge with peers

Why fundamentals?

- We all refer to fundamentals when puzzled.
- Fundamentals & theory are needed to react in new situations.
- Examples:
 - $\circ~$ In a statistical analysis ... ~ ... results NOT as anticipated ... $\otimes~$
 - ightarrow Revert to fundamentals
 - Assumptions: N big enough? Populations have a "normal distributions"? Etc.
 - Methods: algorithm was correct? Choice of T or ANOVA was appropriate?

• Learning examples:

- $\circ~$ Students all do poorly on test ... $\ensuremath{\textcircled{}}$
- $\circ~$ Or, colleagues respond as if you never spoke ...
- \rightarrow What fundamentals to consider?

Fundamentals of learning?

- Suggest examples of "universal" (as you see it) fundamental notions about learning?
- Think ... share

- Eg: learning involves practice ...

- ..
- ..
- ..

Primary inspiration for most of this hour: How Learning Works: 7 research-based principles for smart teaching. Referenced later.

Fundamentals we'll explore

- 1. Prior knowledge
- 2. Motivation
- 3. Experts vs novices
 - Knowledge: how its organized, accessed, applied ...
 - Developing "mastery" (and some implications).
- 4. Practice & feedback
 - Briefly





2. Motivation

Three dimensions:

In order to be "well motivated", a student (or other learner) must ...

- Value what is to be learned
- Have sufficient self confidence (self efficacy)
- Be in a supporting environment

2. Motivation

Three dimensions of motivation:

| | <u>UN</u> supportive environment | | Supportive environment | |
|-----------------------|--|---------------|---------------------------|---------------|
| | <u>Not valued</u> | <u>Valued</u> | <u>Not valued</u> | <u>Valued</u> |
| Low self efficacy | Rejecting | Hopeless | Rejecting | Fragile |
| High self efficacy | Evading | Defiant | Evading | Motivated |
| | Derived from Figure 3.2, "How Learning Works | | | |

2. Motivation

- Value what's being learned
- Self efficacy
- Supporting environment

Implications?

- May need to actively foster "value" (eg in Calc101!)
- Assess & support self efficacy (diversity issues, etc.)
- Other implications?

3. Novices vs. experts

 Most fundamentals boil down to distinctions between *expert* and *novice* behavior.



3. Novices vs. experts

- What behaviors distinguish experts & novices?
 think pair share
- Examples
 - Complex work is 'effortless' & 'automatic'.
 - Path forward is "obvious" or has distinction options.
 - "Unconscious" competence.
 - Can "transfer" skills/knowledge to new settings.



Effortless? Automatic?

- Experts use complex combinations of skills, assumptions, cognitive "leaps" etc.
- Driving is one example
 Talking while driving in the city ...?
- Expert cook's instructions are another – "sauté until done..."

Novice/experts ... implications

- Expert blindness = loosing awareness of your own expertise.
- Do experts = best teachers?
 - Not without *pedagogic expertise*.
- Expert task deconstruction
 - Conscious, careful deconstruction of steps.
 - Often very helpful for teachers, consultants, etc.
- Frameworks for knowledge
 - A key for courses, lessons or professional communications.
 - How do you (experts) "hook" your skills / knowledge together?

What is our framework for "how learning works" ???

Other examples of expertise?

- Your example of effortless expertise compared to struggling novices.
- Can you recognize issues related to
 - Unconscious competence of an expert?
 - Unconscious incompetence of a novice?
 - Expert's assumptions?
 - The framework experts use that novices need?

Fundamentals we've explored

- 1. Prior knowledge:
- 2. Motivation:
- 3. Experts vs novices
 - Knowledge organization, access and application
 Developing "mastery" (and some implications)
- 4. Practice & feedback
- 5. Other aspects can be related to these.

Practice and feedback; Moving towards expertise ...

- Practice does make perfect ... but learning takes time.
- How to "work smarter", not just "more"?
- Goal-directed practice coupled with targeted feedback.
- Obvious? Maybe, but many research-based strategies can be used.
- Hence: "Discipline-based pedagogic expertise"

Derived from Figure 5.1, "How Learning Works". "How Learning Works".

Time & knowledge frameworks

- More novice / expert distinctions;
 - Expert knowledge chunking
 - Novices struggle to attach "pieces" to purposes
 - Hence lectures can resemble a "fire hose ..."



• Frameworks help "coordinate" new ideas.



End with a professional communication example Meet with UBC Legal Counsel regarding a project proposal involving contractual relationship with a Central Asian institution. - What was relevant? – What slowed the pace of communication? Prior knowledge & assumptions - His assumptions about our intentions and needs as geoscience professionals and educators My prior assumptions and understanding - including misconceptions Motivation If the project was not an exciting opportunity I would not be going within a mile of this conversation. ALSO, salient points would NOT remain as useful concepts in my knowledge. Novice-expert issues - His legal expertise and my novice perspective. - Framework: Their document containing 1) project requirements, 2) potential risks to workers and institutions, 3) potential mitigations, 4) options or alternatives. Practice? Feedback? To gain more "expertise"? - Would require deliberate practice and attention, with conscious check points, self-assessments, feedback from experts and a spiral notion of moving forwards. BUT - perhaps I won't go there ...

Thanks all ... 🕲

Resources and references handed out. Questions? Suggestions?

fjones@eoas.ubc.ca

~

Always available to "consult"; EOS-South, rm361.

