

UBC Flexible Learning Project, funded 2014-2016, http://bit.ly/1MOiZcs <u>Adapting Evidence-Based Face-to-Face Instructional Practices for Distance Education</u>

Francis Jones, Louise Longridge & David Turner, Dep't Earth Ocean and Atmospheric Sciences.

1. Background, objectives & accomplishments

PREMIS:

Most UBC improvement projects target face-to-face (F2F) teaching and learning. But – flexibility for students and efficiency of delivery demands increasing use of distance education.

QUESTIONS:

- Can face-to-face learning activities be adapted for DE courses? - Can f2f courses benefit from DE strategies?



OUR ANSWER:

Yes – with some caveats. "How people learn" does not change, but each delivery medium imposes distinct opportunities and constraints.

3. Examples: interactive resources

<u>Context:</u> Active-class & lab-based learning strategies and resources have worked well for F2F students (150/yr) since being improved during CWSEI. See videos 1a, 1b at http://blogs.ubc.ca/wpvc/

Goal: Developed equivalent experiences for DE students (> 300/yr).

<u>1. Interactive, virtual</u> lab space for accessing specimens and other resources.



Licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-ShareAlike 4.0 International Licens https://www.eoas.ubc.ca/courses/eosc326/resources/trilobite-graptolite/labspaceonly.htm

4. Example outcomes

Online behaviors

Students spend more time online – AND – workloads/enthusiasm improves 🔶



116: Total hs students were online 50 40 14a/15a 14c/15c 14s/15s

Activity (interaction) increases. Mainly in structured discussions.







a place of mind

THE UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA

2. High-resolution Interactive images of of handling to see scale and rock context

Information and demonstrations at <u>http://blogs.ubc.ca/eoassei</u>

Project Objectives	Accomplishments	Courses
Increase active, experiential and/or collaborative learning in DE.	a. Adapt two F2F labs for asynchronous DEb. Interactive resources to enable labsc. Worksheet-based labs & assignments	326 DE 326, 118 DE 326, 118 DE
Enhance the diversity and frequency of online assessments for both DE and F2F	 a. Enhanced quizzes and "data entry". b. Pre-post c. Bloom's Dichotomous Key d. feedback (active readings; sketching instead of writing. e. feedback increased by grading solo prior to group work. f. graphical thinking: online sketch app. 	116 DE, 326 DE 116 f2f and DE 220, 326 f2f 326, 118 326 326, 118
Move F2F courses towards a Blended Active Learning modality.	a. Online homeworkb. Visible geology homework (part 2 of a 3-wk activity)	116f2f 110 f2f
Apply evidence-based best practices.	 Learning goals; • group/solo work; • frequent formative assessment; • scaffolding of expert skills; • knowledge, skills and attitudes; • increased novice/expert interaction. 	All

Assessments of learning? Our emphasis was on how to "activate" learning. Assessing that "new" learning is another project.



button a second time to turn it off. Also, short help is given by clicking the "?" button https://www.eoas.ubc.ca/courses/eosc326/resources/trilobite-graptolite/sp52.htm

The little ruler button ("Begin measure") allows calibrated measuring with mouse clicks. Click rule



4. Interactive content

means "instant" feedback at the same time as consumption. Built outside Connect, but runs in Connect.

i) "Hotpotatoes" at https://hotpot.uvic.ca/

ii) Image map builder with mouse-over & click feedback at http://bit.ly/1RF0LKs



Within a Connect Image-map intera Jumbled sentence; Crosswords; Mix



Interactions	EOSC326-DE	EOSC116-DE	EOSC118 (DE) & 110 (f2f)
Student \leftrightarrow Cor	 2 sketch-based assigs 2 interactive content sets 1 VisibleGeology activity Interactive specimens Use of 3rd party online fos 1 Lab changed to self-test 		 4 sketch-based assigs. (eosc118) 1 virtual specimens assig. (eosc118) Visible Geology hmwrk (eosc110)
Student ↔ Stu	 •4 small grp assignments: → 2-4 times increase in activity (Figs. below) • Increased open discussion 	 None designed but → more posts / stu. in discussion forums 	 Worksheets (eosc110) Work with peers encouraged (eosc118)
Student \leftrightarrow Ex	 Assign. feedback Sketch feedback Peer supported feedback Upgraded test questions Email Q/A 	 Assign. feedback Upgraded test qu'ns Email Q/A Pre-post 	 Assign. feedback (eosc118) Clickers & worksheets (eosc110)
Goal: overl interactive including zoor resolution science cossilie to reture to you could print to you could prin	rth (PME). ay links to resources grotating, ning, high on, video, etc.	<complex-block></complex-block>	truction. A by UBC a Rowan bttp://visiblegeology.com/ Cockett. ** I obs Help ** I obs Help ** I wet ** ** ** ** ** ** ** ** ** ** ** ** **
	326: T/G lab scores (solo), 4 terms	5. Adapting F2F	\rightarrow DE: lessons learne
es; 4 terms → ults; 3 terms. ↘ est questions. ng question types. ng auto-graded ole: <i>"Use data &</i>	100% 90% 80% 70% 50% 40% 30% 20% 10% 0% 2014wc 2015s 2015wa 2015wc 116: Pre-post test scores for 3 terms 25	 General Enthusiastic particip success. In DE, that of Learning goals need Design cycle is slowe Non-standard resour Getting and using an 	Pating instructors are the key to often means sessionals. to drive all innovation. er / more meticulous in DE. rces are challenging to sustain. alytics is an unsolved problem. "more" support/doc'n than F2F.
<i>cide if dinosaurs</i> <i>vere likely related</i> <i>tan populations.</i> " emonstrate ease of ad benefits of solo roup work. ↓ s in correctness and	•		on, t try one-offs,
sits tasks + iterates 1	towards correct & complete. Group version after disc'n	- worksheets followe	ed by "quiz-based" data entry.
versions – done first		- questions OTHER th	ian multiple choice.



Participating Courses: <u>Stu / yr</u> EOSC326 Earth and Life Through Time (DE and f2f), ~450 EOSC116 The Mesozoic Earth (DE and f2f), ~330 EOSC118 Gold and Gems (DE only) ~700 EOSC110 The Solid Earth (f2f only) ~200

- "Blooming" questions enhances auto-graded tasks.

Student - Student

- "Solo **motivation**" is harder than "social motivation".
- **Group work** benefits from sequenced solo-group tasks.
- **Scaffold** the discussion cycle AND use **rubrics**.
- **Groups** of ~8 seem better than ~4.
- Uniform **student products** focus discussion on thinking.

Student - Instructor

- Scaling up needs **simple** student products and **rubrics**.
- **Sketching** as alternative to writing to "see" thinking.
- Streamline the **feedback** process for TAs .
- Give help and feedback via **open discussions**.









Interactive resources:

- Interactive specimens (326, 118)
- Interactive content (116, 326)
- Sketching (326, 118)
- Visible geology (326, 110)
- Google earth (PME targeting 118)
- External resources (DB, reading, video, VG, etc) (116, 326, 118, 110)
- Wkshts + Connect quiz.
- Enhanced Connect questioning

Helpfulness, on a scale of 1-4.		
q15	Answers to q'ns via disc'n board were	
q12	Disc'n board interactions with other students were	



- Interactive resources (Student Content): • Worksheets followed by Connect 'quiz' as data entry.
- Deploy "first-time" ideas as optional before required.
- Use strategies more than once in a course.
- Uniform deliverables facilitate assessment & peer work. • Auto-grading for 'data entry' helps scale to large classes.
- **Peer instruction (***Student Student***)**:
- Start with groups of ~8, and include an intro exercise.
- Coordinating small groups takes practice
- Solo deliverable first (worksheet, 'quiz', sketch, etc.)
- Scaffold the discussion cycle.
- Feedback (Student Instructor)
- Constant vigilance both TAs and instructors.
- Use 'products' with assessments that are scalable.

Evaluating improvements (in DE settings)

- Connect reports and item analysis.
- Sketches easier than writing
- Blooming question sets works but is challenging
- Documentation for instructor transfer is important.
- It takes 2-3 iterations to "stabilize" new strategies.
- Connect 'reports' and 'analytics' are arcane!
- Results depend on the term (winter, summer, fall).
- Add more feedback into auto-grading resources.
- *How* to do non quiz-like auto feedback at UBC ??
- Need more pre-tests, mid-task feedback & "why we do this".
- Browser "security" is a moving target requires support.
- How to store & maintain resources outside Connect?

Student - Content: the "easiest" enhancement in DE.

- LMS constrains innovation but supports familiarity
- Technology (eg security of browsers) is a moving target
- Student buy-in requires: - iterative introduction
- repeated use. Don't try one-offs.
- authentic tasks
- "Low-tech" solutions:
- worksheets followed by "quiz-based" data entry - questions OTHER than multiple choice
- "Blooming" questions enhances auto-graded tasks **Student - Student**
- "Solo motivation" is harder than "social motivation"
- Group work benefits from sequenced solo-group tasks
- Scaffold the discussion cycle & use rubrics
- Groups of ~8 seem better than ~4
- Uniformity of product focuses discussion on thinking.
- **Student Instructor**
- Scaling requires economy of product
- Sketching as alternative to writing to "see" thinking
- Streamlined TA feedback

General

- Video documentation for students AND instructors
- Non-standard resources are a sustainability challenge
- Design cycle is slower in DE because it's asynchronous.
- Getting and using **analytics** is an unsolved problem.
- Learning goals should drive all innovation