

## Math 605 Exercises 2: due November 20<sup>th</sup>

### Problem 1:

Consider the **axial** flow of a Bingham fluid along a rectangular duct of area  $\hat{H} \times \hat{L}$ , driven by a constant pressure gradient  $\hat{p}_z = -\hat{G}$ , in the z-direction.

- a) Scale the equations using  $\hat{H}$  as a length-scale,  $\hat{G}\hat{H}$  as the stress scale,  $\hat{G}\hat{H}^2/\hat{\mu}$  as the velocity scale. Look for a solution  $\mathbf{u}=(0,0,W(x,y))$  for steady fully developed flow in the z-direction. Show that the problem is governed by 2 dimensionless groups: a Bingham number ( $B = \hat{\tau}_y/\hat{G}\hat{H}$ ) and an aspect ratio:  $L = \hat{L}/\hat{H}$ .
- b) Using the Matlab code distributed, read through and comment as needed – if you can make it more efficient do so (and give it back....). Implement a convergence error tolerance criterion on the Uzawa algorithm loop and experiment with different  $r$  and  $\rho$  satisfying the convergence criterion, or not. Is Glowinski's bound “sharp”?
- c) Solve this problem numerically (=run the code) for:  $L=2$  and for  $B=0, 0.1, 0.2, 0.3, 0.4$  – what happens?
- d) Using down the variational formulation as in class, you know that there will be a critical value of  $B$  (depending only on  $L$ ) above which the velocity is zero. You can find this in either the papers by Mosolov & Miasnikov, or by Huilgol 2004. Write down the exact critical  $B$  as a function of  $L$ .
- e) Determine the critical  $B$  numerically, using the code in any way you feel is justified, for  $L=1$  (square),  $L=2$ ,  $L=5$ . Is your estimate affected by mesh size? Explain how close your estimate is to the exact critical  $B$ .

### Problem 2:

Consider the Stokes flow of a Bingham fluid in a closed 3D region  $\Omega$  with  $\mathbf{u}=0$  on the smooth boundary, driven by a body force  $\rho\mathbf{f}$ , i.e. as we have done in class.

- a) Write down the dimensional form of the velocity minimization and stress maximization principles.
- b) Show what happens to  $\langle \mathbf{f} \cdot \mathbf{u} \rangle$  as the density  $\rho$  is increased.
- c) Show that  $\langle \dot{\gamma}(\mathbf{u}) \rangle$  decreases as  $\tau_y$  increases and strictly, unless  $\mathbf{u}=0$ .
- d) Show what happens to:  $\mu_\infty \langle \dot{\gamma}^2(\mathbf{u}) \rangle$  as the plastic viscosity  $\mu_\infty$  is increased.

For b-d, no strict proof is needed – just use the variational methods as in class and any inequalities we have used.