

COURSE INFORMATION

Course title:	MANAGEMENT CONSULTING & CORPORATE DECISION SUPPORT		
Course code:	BASM523	Credits:	2.0
Session, term, period:	2024W1P6	Class location:	ANGU-Floor 1-Room 133
Section(s):	001	Class times:	Monday 6:00 to 9:30 pm
Course duration:	Sep 6 to Oct 14, 2024		Sep 2 (Mon) class
Division:	Strategy & Business		Rescheduled to Sep 6 (Fri)
	Economics		Sep 30 (Mon) class
			Rescheduled to Oct 4 (Fri)
Program:	Full-Time MBA		

INSTRUCTOR INFORMATION

Instructor:	Philippe Arrata	Office location:	N/A
Phone:	778 987-7643	Office hours:	By appointment before or after class
Email:	phil@lexma.ca		

COURSE DESCRIPTION

The purpose of this course is to prepare students to enter management consulting or a similar corporate role (e.g., business development, corporate decision support). This course will require students to use the knowledge and tools acquired in the MBA program and apply them in a variety of business cases. The course is entirely case based. Students are expected to read and prepare two cases a week. Teams will be asked to present case analyses, share their thoughts and opinions. It is critical to be well prepared for class.

COURSE FORMAT

This course will be based on cases. All classes include general business discussions.

The first half of the class will be the discussion of a case where groups will present their work in different formats. After the class break we will discuss a topic relevant to management consulting. The final part of the class will be a review and workshop of the second case prepared for the week.

Guest speakers will be invited to present in class and the instructor will let students know a week in advance.





LEARNING OBJECTIVES

Upon completion of this course students should be comfortable with:

- Diagnosing a business program
- Using a structure to develop hypotheses on potential solutions
- Conducting analyses to prove or disprove the hypothesis
- Communicating findings and recommendations in an effective manner

SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENT GOALS (SDGS)

At UBC Sauder, we are committed to responsible business practices that can have transformative impacts on society. One of the ways we are reinforcing our commitment to responsible business is by showcasing relevant content in our courses via the lens of the [United Nations Sustainable Development Goals](#). In this course, we will touch on topics that relate to the following goals:

Sustainable Development Goal	Description of how and when the goal is covered in the course.
<p>Goal 5: Gender Equality</p> 	<p>This course has been designed to profile both protagonists and guest speakers of diverse backgrounds and genders.</p>
<p>Goal 6: Clean Water and Sanitation</p> 	<p>Ensure availability and sustainable management of water and sanitation for all</p> <p>Global Examples: access to clean drinking water, adequate waste water treatment, integrated water resources management, water reuse and recycling, watersheds, rivers, streams, ground water, water treatment, conservation</p>
<p>Goal 9: Industry, Innovation and Infrastructure</p> 	<p>Build resilient infrastructure, promote inclusive and sustainable industrialization and foster innovation</p> <p>Global Examples: resilient infrastructure, inclusive and sustainable industrialization, innovation, access to transportation, micro-finance, access to credit, small-scale industry support, research and technology, entrepreneurship, access to technology, social enterprise</p>
<p>Goal 12: Responsible Consumption and Production</p> 	<p>Ensure sustainable consumption and production patterns</p> <p>Global Examples: sustainable and equitable sourcing and production, sustainable procurement, sustainable distribution, food waste, life cycle analysis, recycling and reuse, ecological footprint, corporate social responsibility, fair trade, circular economy, consumer well-being, responsible sourcing, low emission supply chain design, responsible/ethical supply chain</p>

ASSESSMENTS

Summary

<u>Component</u>	<u>Weight</u>
Case written ups:	60%
Cases 1&2: Individual (5% - see below)	

Cases 3&4: 7.5% each (15% in total)	
Cases 5-8: 10 % each (40% in total)	
Class participation	15%
Peer assessment	10%
Case Summary Letter	<u>15%</u>
Total	<u>100%</u>

Final grades are not open for discussion and are not negotiable

Details of Assessments

Group Assignments

Students will need to form groups of approximately 6 people. The reason for the group nature of this class is multifaceted – consulting is done in groups (the majority of the time); problem solving is best conducted through debate, discussion, and knowledge sharing; and there is a need to balance the workload between team members. Recommendation is to split your team in two with each sub-group taking the lead on one of the cases for the week.

Based on student feedback, one can expect to spend anywhere from 7 to 14 hours/week on your primary case and 1-3 hours/week on the secondary case. The range is wide as it depends on the case, the team's effectiveness, working approach and desire for a high grade.

Both case assignments are to be emailed in by the group to phil@lexma.ca (in PowerPoint or PDF format) prior to the start of class.

At the end of the course, you will be asked to give each of your group members a grade on their performance within your group. **Failure to submit a peer assessment form on time will result in a 0% score in your peer assessment.**

I strongly suggest that in your first group meeting you have a “team learning session” to discuss:

- Personal expectations from this course
- Expectations of one another's performance and behaviors
- Working style and approach

You are to score your team members from 0-10 on the assessment form.

Please note **peer assessments and class participation scores are confidential** (if I gave you one score you could calculate the other). I will not share peer assessment information and you have no obligation to share that information with your group members.

Case write-ups

Each group must submit case write-ups at the beginning of class. ALL CASES need to have a write-up and all cases are graded. Case write-ups should take the form of a **PowerPoint presentation**. You should take the perspective of an external consultant to the case decision maker(s) such as the CEO, CFO or

Board of Directors. Your case solutions are recommendations concerning the appropriate course of action. Case write-ups should take the following form:

- One-page executive summary stating your understanding of the situation; the problem(s) the company faces; any key assumptions; and the recommended course of action
- Slides that support your understanding of the situation and recommendation
- Appendix with any backup spreadsheet or key analyses

First Individual Case Write-up

The first case write-up is an individual assignment and limited to 6 slides and 2 appendix slides.

Students whose last name begins with A-L will do Case 1

Students M-Z will do Case 2

Case write-ups are limited to 10 slides and no more than 3 slides in the Appendix (e.g., supporting spreadsheets or analysis). Do not go below a 10-point font in your document. The presentation needs to be visible to all the students in the room. This requires some thought in formatting and sizing of your slides.

Like any business consulting situation, there is no “right answer”, but some answers are certainly better than others! Case write-ups will be graded based on the quality of the executive summary (sharp, clear, with fact-based recommendations) and the analysis and facts supporting the executive summary. To score well on cases, I will be looking for insights, high-quality analyses, and a few “aha moments”. Original analyses, new perspectives, and out of the box thinking will clearly stand out. Do not be afraid to take risks and be creative in your thinking! Avoid the old consulting joke – he took my watch and told me the time (i.e., just regurgitating the facts).

External research is not required or expected for preparing cases. You may look up general information to better understand the case; for example, population of a country, or understanding industry terminology. However, building the fact base beyond what is provided in the case is not expected nor will provide a higher score.

Class participation

Class participation grades will be based on the quality and quantity of in-class participation. Discussions before or after class do not count. Obviously, if you are not in class you cannot participate.

In every class, groups will be asked to present their case write-ups. These presentations influence but are a small subset of your overall class participation score.

My general guideline for class participation grades is:

Not engaged:	0-5
Only spoke in group presentations:	5-10
Sometimes participated in an insightful manner:	8-12
Regularly participated in an insightful manner:	10-14
Consistently participated in an insightful manner:	12-15

Case summary letter

In addition to participating in class, you will also be graded on a three-page case summary as your final **individual** assignment. This is a three-page memo (Word document). You can include 3 backup slides/exhibits (can be PowerPoint charts or Excel tables). This letter is an opportunity to provide your own perspective on a case. It is also the only individual assignment during the term.

Please ensure your case summary letter is original and brings in new insights to the case.

Grading for the case summary letters is done relative to your classmates. That is, after reading all the letters I rank the submissions based on clarity, level of insight, creativity, and originality.

LEARNING MATERIALS

Required:

- IVEY Cases
<https://www.iveypublishing.ca/s/ivey-coursepack/a1ROF000001KaWb2AK>
Cost: \$70.10

NO DISTRIBUTION OF RECORDINGS

There is no distribution of recordings of class. Classes are designed as and are intended to be in-person. Your attendance is expected. If you are unable to attend, the policy regarding missed classes described in this syllabus applies. It is your responsibility to ensure that you have the materials you need for missed classes.

COURSE-SPECIFIC POLICIES AND RESOURCES

Missed or late assignments, and regrading of assessments

Late submissions will not be accepted and will receive a grade of zero.

Academic Concessions Policy

If you experience unanticipated events or other circumstances that constitute valid grounds for academic concession as defined by [UBC's Academic Concession Policy](#), complete and submit the [Academic Concession Request & Declaration Form](#). Concessions are time-sensitive and the online form should be submitted within 48 hours of the missed deadline. Upon submission, your request will be recorded in the RHL and you will also receive an email with further instructions. Please read this email carefully and be sure to also refer to the relevant course syllabus for each concession that you have requested. Please know that you should continue to work on the coursework for the course(s) which you submitted a concession for. You should anticipate being asked to submit work or write an exam as soon as the circumstances affecting your ability to fulfil your academic responsibilities are resolved.

Code Plagiarism

Code plagiarism falls under the UBC policy for [Academic Misconduct](#). Students must correctly cite any code that has been authored by someone else or by the student themselves for other assignments. Cases of "reuse" may include, but are not limited to:

- the reproduction (copying and pasting) of code with none or minimal reformatting (e.g., changing the name of the variables)
- the translation of an algorithm or a script from a language to another
- the generation of code by automatic code-generations software

An “adequate acknowledgement” requires a detailed identification of the (parts of the) code reused and a full citation of the original source code that has been reused.

Students are responsible for ensuring that any work submitted does not constitute plagiarism. Students who are in any doubt as to what constitutes plagiarism should consult their instructor before handing in any assignments.

POLICIES APPLICABLE TO COURSES IN THE ROBERT H. LEE GRADUATE SCHOOL

Attendance

Excepting extenuating circumstances, students are expected to attend 100% of their scheduled class hours. Absent students limit their own academic potential, and that of their classmates, and cause unnecessary disruption to the learning environment. Students missing more than 20% of the total scheduled class hours for a course (including classes held during the add/drop period) without having received an academic concession will be withdrawn from that course. Withdrawals, depending on timing, could result in a “W” or an “F” standing on the transcript.

Punctuality

Students are expected to arrive for classes and activities on time and fully prepared to engage. Late arrivals may be refused entry at the discretion of the instructor or activity lead. Students arriving later than halfway through a scheduled class will be treated as absent for that class.

Electronic Devices

Devices such as laptops, tablets, and cell phones are not permitted to be used in class unless directed by the instructor for in-class activities. Students who do not follow the School’s policy in this regard may be required to leave the room for the remainder of the class, so that they do not distract others. Research shows that students’ use of laptops in class has negative implications for the learning environment, including reducing their own grades and the grades of those sitting around them.

Citation Style

Please use the American Psychological Association (APA) reference style to cite your sources.

Details of the above policies and other RHL Policies are available at:

<http://www.calendar.ubc.ca/vancouver/index.cfm?tree=12,199,506,1625>

UNIVERSITY POLICIES AND RESOURCES

UBC provides resources to support student learning and to maintain healthy lifestyles but recognizes that sometimes crises arise and so there are additional resources to access including those for survivors of sexual violence. UBC values respect for the person and ideas of all members of the academic community. Harassment and discrimination are not tolerated nor is suppression of academic freedom. UBC provides appropriate accommodation for students with disabilities and for religious observances.

UBC values academic honesty and students are expected to acknowledge the ideas generated by others and to uphold the highest academic standards in all of their actions. Details of the policies and how to access support are available on the UBC Senate website at <https://senate.ubc.ca/policies-resources-support-student-success>.

Respect for Equity, Diversity, and Inclusion

The UBC Sauder School of Business strives to promote an intellectual community that is enhanced by diversity along various dimensions including status as a First Nation, Metis, Inuit, or Indigenous person, race, ethnicity, gender identity, sexual orientation, religion, political beliefs, social class, and/or disability. It is critical that students from diverse backgrounds and perspectives be valued in and well-served by their courses. Furthermore, the diversity that students bring to the classroom should be viewed as a resource, benefit, and source of strength for your learning experience. It is expected that all students and members of our community conduct themselves with empathy and respect for others.

Academic Integrity

The academic enterprise is founded on honesty, civility, and integrity. As members of this enterprise, all students are expected to know, understand, and follow the codes of conduct regarding academic integrity. At the most basic level, this means submitting only original work done by you and acknowledging all sources of information or ideas and attributing them to others as required. This also means you should not cheat, copy, or mislead others about what is your work. Violations of academic integrity (i.e., misconduct) lead to the breakdown of the academic enterprise, and therefore serious consequences arise and harsh sanctions are imposed. For example, incidences of plagiarism or cheating may result in a mark of zero on the assignment or exam and more serious consequences may apply if the matter is referred to the President's Advisory Committee on Student Discipline. Careful records are kept in order to monitor and prevent recurrences.

Use of Artificial Intelligence

Generative AI Permitted Where Specified with Attribution

For this course, students may use generative artificial intelligence (AI), including ChatGPT, for specific assessments or coursework, where it is expressly specified by the instructor. In these cases of permitted use, students must disclose any use of AI-generated material as per the assessment guidelines. At a minimum, this will include proper attribution, including in-text citations, quotations and references.

COPYRIGHT

All materials of this course (course handouts, lecture slides, assessments, course readings, etc.) are the intellectual property of the instructor or licensed to be used in this course by the copyright owner. Redistribution of these materials by any means without permission of the copyright holder(s) constitutes a breach of copyright and may lead to academic discipline and could be subject to legal action. Any lecture recordings are for the sole use of the instructor and students enrolled in the class. **In no case may the lecture recording or part of the recording be used by students for any other purpose, either personal or commercial.** Further, audio or video recording of classes are not permitted without the prior consent of the instructor. Students may not share class Zoom links or invite others who are not registered to view sessions.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

UBC's Point Grey Campus is located on the traditional, ancestral, and unceded territory of the xʷməθkʷəy̓əm (Musqueam) people, who for millennia have passed on their culture, history, and traditions from one generation to the next on this site.

COURSE SCHEDULE
(Subject to change)

Class#	CLASS TOPICS	ACTIVITIES	WHAT'S DUE / REQUIRED READING
CLASS 1 - FRIDAY SEPTEMBER 6, 2024			
Part 1	Introductions & Course overview	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> Review of course syllabus Any questions related to the class 	
Part 2	Overview of the consulting industry	Be prepared to discuss: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Key Success Factors to enter management consulting Industry understanding– economics, market positions, strategies of different firms Career questions – progression, lifestyle, income? 	
Part 3	Case Example: El-Cerito: Driving Growth	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> Should Michael Belden redo his plan? Why or why not? What would you do in his situation? What strategies would you employ to accelerate growth to meet Sara Jansen’s expectations? 	Read El-Cerito Case and reflect on the discussion questions
CLASS 2 – MONDAY SEPTEMBER 9, 2024			
Part 1	Clayton Industries (Case 1)	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> Evaluate Peter Arnell’s first two months as general manager of Clayton SpA. What are the main challenges he faces? How is he dealing with them? Review the three possible courses of action on the last page of the case. As Arnell’s advisor, which would you suggest he recommends to Briggs and Buis? What problems and constraints limit your recommendations? How would you deal with those issues? Do you believe that Arnell is the best choice as country manager for Clayton SpA? Note: Questions 1 & 2 must be part of your case write-up.	Case write-up
Part 2	Presentation /Discussion topics	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> Use Clayton case to discuss forecasting methodologies Discuss elements of an effective slides Discussion: Reading an Annual Report	How To Efficiently Read An Annual Report http://www.investopedia.com/articles/basics/10/efficiently-read-annual-report.asp How to Listen to Earnings Conference Calls By Scott Rothbort https://www.thestreet.com/investing/the-finance-professor-beginners-guide-to-earnings-calls-10353956
Part 3	Full Psyche (Case 2)	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> What are the reasons for Full Psyche’s success? What is Harmeling’s existing approach to expansion? What are the benefits and downsides? 	Case write-up

		3. If your team represented Hameling, which expansion approach would you recommend? What is the second best option? Note: Focus on question 3 with a clear rationale.	
CLASS 3 – MONDAY SEPTEMBER 16, 2024			
Part 1	Sustainability at IKEA Group (Case 3)	1. How would you assess IKEA Group’s People & Planet Positive sustainability plan? Is the plan likely to help the company transform its business? Are the plan’s targets too limited, appropriate, or ambitious? 2. How do you feel about the progress IKEA Group has made implementing its plan? 3. How does IKEA’s sustainability strategy align with its business model? What are the points of synergy? What are the points of conflict? 4. Which option(s) should IKEA Group pursue to address IKEA’s Wood Supply Chain sustainability? Note: Question 4 should be part of your case write-up	Case write-up
Part 2	Guest Speaker	Sara Aghvami	
Part 3	Dollarama (Case 4)	1. How is Dollarama differentiated as a retailer? Reflect on sourcing, merchandising, and pricing. 2. Reflect on the unit economics on new stores 3. Based on your new store analysis, do you believe the company is overvalued or undervalued? Consider a simple ratio analysis. A DCF analysis is not necessary. 1. Note: The above 3 questions should be part of your case write-up	Case write-up
CLASS 4 – MONDAY SEPTEMBER 23, 2024			
Part 1	Zipcar (Case 5)	1. Evaluate this potential venture and the progress made thus far. 2. What is the business model and how has it changed thus far? What does the data from actual operations in September indicate about how the business model is playing out? Do the results give you comfort or concern? 3. What actions should be taken based on the results to date? Note: Pretend that you are Chase and you are presenting to potential investors.	Case write-up
Part 2	Korea Telecom (Case 6)	1. What were the external and internal threats Korea Telecom (KT) faced when Dr. Hwang joined? 2. What are the risks in developing and commercializing 5G? What should KT do to minimize these risks? Among the five sectors KT has chosen, which one(s) do you think it should prioritize going forward?	Case write-up
Part 3	Guest Speaker	Ravi Bhosale, Manager, Strategy and Execution at Telus; Board Member at Modo; Sauder MBA Post MBA journey <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● Career pathing ● Job transitions internally and between companies 	

		Lessons learned	
CLASS 5 – FRIDAY OCTOBER 4, 2024			
Part 3	Birks: The Sparkle of a Cherished Brand (Case 4)	2. What are key success factors in jewelry retailing? 3. Think about Birks' heritage. What is the 'DNA' of the Birks brand? Does Birks' DNA help it succeed going forward? 4. How should Birks position itself for future growth? What are some short- and long-term growth initiatives they can pursue? <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • What are ways Birks can increase bottom-line profitability and ensure continued financial health? 	Case write-up
Part 2	Presentation	1. Consulting interview tips 2. Inductive and Deductive presentation approach <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Discussion Topic: Career Planning 	Charting Your Motivational Map: How to Find Your Ideal Career by Douglas B. Richardson, Certified Master Coach http://www.careercast.com/career-news/charting-your-motivational-map-how-find-your-ideal-career Charting a Five-Year Career Plan is Pointless by Ellyn Shook http://fortune.com/2016/05/16/careers-women/ The Reverse Interview: How To Choose Your Next Company https://www.reforge.com/blog/reverse-interview
Part 1	Hansson Private Label (Case 7)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • How would you describe HPL and its position within the private label personal care industry? • Using the assumptions from the Executive VP of Manufacturing, Robert Gates, estimate the projects FCF. Are the projections realistic? What assumptions would you debate? What do you believe to be a more realistic forecast? • Using CFO Sheila Dowling's projected WACC schedule, what discount rate would you choose? What flaws, if any, are inherent in using WACC as the discount rate? • Estimate the project's NPV. Would you recommend that Tucker Hansson proceed with this investment? Note: Make sure you answer questions 2 and 4 in a quantitative manner and include them in your write-up.	Case write-up
FINAL ASSIGNMENT			
	Cadbury Schweppes (A&B)	Please submit a three-page letter (with up to 3 backup exhibits) addressed to the Board of Directors of Cadbury Schweppes commenting on:	Individual Case Write-up

		<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Would you recommend a bid of more than \$4 billion for Adams? Why or why not? 2. What are is your strategic and financial rationale for pursuing Adams or not bidding on the company? 3. Writing summary letters is a key element of consulting. You need to be able to respectfully communicate to your client areas of strength and opportunities. Be sure to use facts and be insightful. 	<p>AND</p> <p>Peer Assessment</p> <p>DUE:</p> <p><u>October 14, 2024 @9AM</u></p> <p><u>PST</u></p>
--	--	---	--

OTHER INFORMATION: PEER ASSESSMENT FORM

Your name: _____

Please complete the table below. **Please provide each team member a score between 0 and 10 for each assessment criteria. Do not rate yourself.**

Team member name:	Score Based on professionalism, work effort and overall contribution	Comments/Rationale for score