NP-Completeness:anOverview

YoungEunKim andGeneMooLee DepartmentofComputerScienceandEngineering , KoreaUniversity

Abstract

This paper presents an overviewof NP -complete problems . The theory of NP -completeness is important not only intheoretical aspect but also in reality.First, w e will take a look at the formal definition and some examples of NP -completeproblems. Then, we will see how to prove a problem is NP -complete and how to cope with NP -complete problems.

Keywords - NP-completeness, classP, classNP, nondeterministicTuring machine, polynomial -timereducibility

Contents

- 1 Introduction
- 2 Motivation
- 3 BackgroundKnowledge
 - 3.1 DecisionandOptimizationproblems
 - 3.2 TuringMachine and classP
 - 3.3 NondeterministicTuringmachineandclassNP
 - 3.4 Polynomial-timereducibility
- 4 DefinitionofNP -completeness
- 5 Examples of NP complete problems
- 6 HierarchyofProblems
 - 6.1 UndecidableProblems
 - 6.2 P,NP,PSPACE,andEXPTIME
 - 6.3 Conjectures with Pand NP classes
- 7 HowtoproveaproblemisNP -complete
 - 7.1 ProvingNP -completeness
 - 7.2 Cook'sThe orem
 - 7.3 NP-completeproblemstree
- 8 HowtocopewithNP -completeproblems
 - 8.1 HeuristicAlgorithm
 - 8.2 Approximation
 - 8.3 QuantumComputation
- 9 Summary

1. Introduction

In the theory of NP -completeness, we categorize the problems by the theory of NP -complexity.

2. Motivation

Sofarinthisclass, we 'velearne dmanyalgorithmstosolve problems like Sorting, Shortest-Path, String Matching, etc. And the time complexity of those al gorithms was all in the form O(n), $O(n^{-2})$, or $O(n*\log n)$. But in the reality, not all problems have polynomial time algorithm. In some situation, we have to check out all the possible situat ion sto find the correct answer, and in that case, we could have an exponential time algorithm like of $O(2^n)$ time complexity.

But the problem is that these exponential time algorithms may be just useless. [Table 1] indicates approximated computing ti meinthe given time complexity, and shows that it is impossible to compute exponential time.

| | 10 | 30 | 60 |
|--------|------------|------------|---------------------|
| O(n) | 0.00001sec | .00003 sec | .00006 sec |
| O(n^2) | .0001 sec | .0009 sec | .0036 sec |
| O(n^3) | .001 sec | .027 sec | .216 sec |
| O(n^5) | 1 sec | 24.3 sec | 13.0 min |
| O(2^n) | .001 sec | 17.9 min | 366centuries |
| O(3^n) | .059 sec | 6.5 yrs | 1.3*10^13 centuries |
| O(3^n) | | 6.5 yrs | 1.3*10^13 centurie |

[Table1] P olynomialandexponentialtimecomplexity

To solve <u>Traveling Salesperson Problem</u>(TSP) inbrute forcealgorithmin thecase "n=1000", we have to compute 1000! cases. However, even if all the electrons in the universe have computing power of super -computers and they work from the beginning of the univers e, we can 't compute all 1000! times. In other words, it is simply impossible to do solve that problem !

In reality, we faced many problems like TSP, which is called to be *NP-complete*. In this paper, we present the definition of NP -complete problems first. Then we show how to prove a problem is NP -complete and how to cope with NP -complete problems.

3. BackgroundKnowledge

Before defining NP -completeness formally, we have to define three notations: class P, class NP, and polynomial timereducibility.

3.1. DecisionandOptimizationProblems

Many problems of interest are *optimization problems*, in whicheachfeasible(i.e., "legal")solutionhasanassociated value, and we wish to find the feasib le solution with the best value. However, NP-completeness applies directly not to optimization problems, but to *decision problem s*, in whichtheanswerissimply "yes" or "nd" Thenwe cancast agiven optimization problemas are lated decision problem by imposing abound on the value to be optimized.

Forexample, in a problem that we call Shortest-Path, we wish to find the path from *u* to *v* that uses the fewest edges.

The related decision problem, which we call PATH, is whether the given graph has a path from u to v consisting of at most k edges. Thus, even though the theory of NP completeness restricts its attention to decision problems, the theory often has implications for optimization problems. From now, we will consider decision problems.

3.2. TuringMachine and classP

Inordertoknowtheexactabilityofcomputers, we have to define the mathematical model of real computers. By Church-Turing thes is, we accept that the power of real computers is equivalent to that of Turing machines. So we can know the power of computers by analyzing equivalent Turing machines. The formal definition of Turing machine is the following

Definition1

A *Turing machine* isa7 -tuple (Q, \sum , Γ , δ , q₀, q_{accept}, q_{reject}), where,

1)Qisthefinitesetofstates,

- 2) \sum is the finite set of input alphabet s,
- 3) Γ is the tape alphabet, where \triangle Γ and \sum Γ ,
- 4) $\delta: Q^* \ \Gamma \rightarrow Q^* \ \Gamma^* \{L, R\}$ is the transition function ,
- 5) q_0 Qisthestartstate,
- 6) q_{accept} Qistheacceptstate, and
- 7) q_{reject} Qistherejectstate, where $q_{accept} \neq q_{reject}$.

Now, we can define the class of problems that have efficientalgorithmstoresolve,namelyclassP.

Definition2

| P isthecla | assoflanguagesthataredecidableinpol | ynomial |
|-------------------|-------------------------------------|---------|
| timeona | Turingmachine.Inotherwords, | |

 $P = k > 0 TIME(n^{k}).$

Then problem lik e Sorting is in P because it has algorithms with time complexity $O(n^{-2})$. The class P plays a central role in our theory and is important because 1) P is invariant for all models of computation that are polynomially equivalent to the deterministic single -tape

Turing machine, and 2) Proughly corresponds to the class of problems that are realistically solvable on a computer.

3.3. NondeterministicTuring machineand classNP

UnlikethoseproblemsinP, we don'tknowofafastwayto determine whether a graph has a Hamiltonian path (<u>HAMPATH problem</u>). However, if such a path were discoveredsomehow, we could easily convince that the path is Hamiltonian. In other words, *verifying* the existence of Hamiltonian path may be easier than *determining* its existence. Thiskind of problem isinclass NP.

Definition 3

NP is the class of languages that have polynomial time verifiers.

We could also define the class NP with a powerful version of Turing machine which has guessing ability . The following is the formal definition of this machine. <u>Definition 4</u>

A *nondeterministic Turing machine* is a Turing machine with the transition function has the form

 $\delta: Q^* \quad \Gamma \rightarrow P(Q^* \quad \Gamma^*\{L,R\}).$ The conceptual diagram of nondeterministic Turing machine (NTM)isin [Figure1].

The power of an NTM is the same as that of deterministic Turing machine *in view of computability*. But *in view of complexity*, NTM can solve proble ms much faster than a normal Turing machine. In addition, NTM has a guessing module to choose the evidence answern on deterministic cally.

We can determine whether a problem is in NP or not by the following theorem . In fact, the class NP is defined in terms of NTM insomebooks .

Theorem1

A language is in NP if and only if it is decided by some nondeterministic polynomial time Turingm achine.





We can describe the two classes above like this. P = the class of languages where membership can be *decided* quickly.

NP = the class of languages where membership can be *verified*quickly.

3.4. Polynomial-timeReducibility

The last thing to understand the notion of NP - completeness is polynomial -time reducibility.

Definition5

Language Ais *polynomial time reducible*, to language B, written A B, if a polynomial time computable function f: $\Sigma^* \rightarrow \Sigma^*$ exists, where for every Σ^* ,

B.

w A iff f(w)

Thefunction fiscalled the **polynomial time reduction** of A

toB.

Let'sseetheunderlyingmeaningofthisdefinition. If we have a polynom ial time reduction from A to B, then it means that problem A can be converted to problem B, and problem B is "nohardertosolve" than problem A. Inother words, Aisharderthan B, or they are equally hard to solve.

4. DefinitionofNP -completeness

Now,we cande fineNP -completenessformally. <u>Definition 6</u> AlanguageBis *NP-complete*ifitsatisfiestwoconditions: 1.BisinNP.and

2. EveryAinNPispolynomialtimereducibletoB.

Alanguage is said to be *NP-hard* if it satisfies the second condition above.

NP-Complete problem is the "hardest" problem in class NP because all problems in NP can be transformed to the problem in class NP-complete. Also, all NP -complete problems are *unknown* whether a polynomial -time algorithmexist s.

To explain the underlying meaning, "NP" means Nondeterministic Polynomial. Weadd theword "complete" because **if one** of NP -complete problems is proved to be solved in polynomial time, then it means that we can solve **all** the NP -complete problems in polynomial time.

5. SomeExamples

Let's lookatsomeexamples of NP-complete problems .

- <u>Satisfiability</u>: Given a propositional f ormula φ , is there a truthassignment that makes φ to be true?

- <u>Traveling Salesperson Problem</u>: Given *n* cities and roads between the cities, what is the path to vi sit each city one timewithminimumcost?

- <u>LongestPath</u>: Givenagraphandtwovertices *s* and *t*, what is the longest path from *s* to *t*? (cf. ShortestPathisinP)

- <u>Real-time Scheduling</u>: Given a set of processes with release time and deadline, is there a schedule to satisfy the release time constraints and to meet all the deadlines?

- <u>Hamiltonian Cycle</u>: Given a directed graph, does the graphhaveaHamiltoniancycle? (cf.EulerCycleisinP)

6. HierarchyofProblems

In this chapter, we want to know the loca tions of Pand NP problems in the whole hierarchy of problems.

6.1. UndecidableProblems

In the past, p eople th ought that computers can do anything with enough amounts of memory and time. However, it is proved that computers cannot solve some problems. Those problems are called *undecidable*. For instance, <u>Halting</u> <u>problem</u>, determining whether a Turing machine ha lts (acceptsorrejects) on a given input, is undecidable.

6.2. P,NP,PSPACE,andEXPTIME

Before explaining the relationships, we had better know the definition of P, NP, PSPACE, and EXPTIME.

1) **P** is the class of languages that are decidable in polynomialtimeona Turingmachine.

2) **NP** is the class of languages that have polynomial time verifiers.

3) **PSPACE** is the class of languages that are decidable in polynomialspaceonadeterministic Turingmachine.

4) **NPSPACE** is the class of languages that are decidable in polynomials pace on an ondeterministic Turing machine .

5) **EXPTIME** is the class in which some TM decides problems and halts in exponential time.

The relationship among P, NP, PSPACE, and EXPTIME is likethis:

P NP PSPACE = NPSPACE EXPTIME

We don't know whether any of these containments is actually equality. We may not yet discover a simulation about these relationships. However, $P \neq EXPITME$ has been proved. Therefore at least one of the preceding containment is proper, but we are unable to say which! Indeed, most researchers *believe* that all the containments areproper.



[Figure3] ConjecturedHierarchyofProblems

6.3. Conjecture with PandNP problems

By general definition, P NP. This means that an efficient algorithm on a deterministic machine *does not exist* relevanttoaNPproblem.

2)Onthe contrary, another relation, P=NP, is included in the list of the most important mathematical problems for the

newcentury. P=NP means that an efficient algorithm on a deterministic machine *is not found yet* relevant to a NP problem.

Figure 2 represents the conjectures with Pand NP problems.



[Figure2]TwoConjecturesbetweenPandNP

7. HowtoproveaproblemisNP -complete

Now,le t'sseehowtoproveahardproblemis NP-complete. First,let 'sseethefollowingtheorem.

7.1. ProvingNP -Completeness

Theorem2

| If a problem C is in | -Complete | | |
|----------------------|-------------|------------|--|
| problemBwithB | C,thenCisNP | -complete. | |

SinceallproblemsinNPcanbepolynomialtimereducedto B and B can be reduced to C, we can conclude that all problemsinNPcanb epolynomialtimereducedtoC.

Then to prove a problem is NP -Complete, we need at leastone NP -complete problem. By the following theorem, weget the first NP -complete problem.

7.2. Cook'sT heorem

Theorem3 (Cook) Satisfiability (SAT)isNP -complete.

Recall that Satisfiability problem (SAT) is to test whether a Boolean formulais satisfiable. SAT= $\{ < \Phi > | \Phi is a satisfiable Boolean formula \}$ ABoolean formula is satisfiable if some assignment of 0s and 1 sto the variables makes the formula evaluate to 1. To prove this theorem we need five pages of space ! ! If you

wanttoseeit, pleaselook at reference [3] or [4].

7.3. NP-completeproblemsTree





It can be shown easily that the sesix problems (3SAT, 3DM, VC, PARTITION, H C, and CLIQUE) are NP problem . First, there problems are in NP b ecause a nondeterministic algorithm canguess at ruth assignment for the variables and check in polynomial time whether that truth setting satisfies whole the problem s (L NP). Also, by the reduction in Figure 3, we can show that all NP problems can be reduced to the seproblems . Therefore, they are NP -Complete.

8. HowtocopewithNP -completeproblems

Eventhough we proved that a problem is NP -complete, the problem will not disappear and we have to find alternatives to resolve the problem. Here we propose three ways to cope with NP -complete problems.

8.1. UsingHeuristicAlgorithms

Heuristic algorithmis to find a "good" solution within an acceptableamountoftime ,nottofindt hebestsolution . The most widely applied technique is that of "neighborhood search" (or local search) . In this technique, a pre -selected set of local operations is used to repeate dly improve an initial solution. This process is continued until no further local improvements can be made and a "locally optimum" solution has been obtained. In other words, heuristic algorithm reduce sthe search space. For example, there are practical solutions to solve SAT problem :zChaff,Berkmin, GRASP, SATO, QingTing, etc. T hese solvers solveSAT in considerable amount f time.

8.2. Approximation

An approximation algorithm is designed to find *approximately optimal* solutions. In practice, we may not need the absolute best or *optimal* solution to aproblem. A *nearly optimal* solution may be good enough and may be mucheasiertofind.

For example, there is an approximation algorithm for finding thesmallest vertex covers . This algorithm produces avertex cover th at is *nevermore than twice the size* of one of the smallest vertex covers.

8.3. QuantumComputation

Quantum computing uses a pulse of light. Indetail, the bits, Oa nd 1, are substituted by the spins of quantum. The spinis expressed by 'spinup'(0) or 'spindown' (1). Because the speed of processing by quantum is equal to that of light, quantum computer can calculate a time -consuming problem ina relatively short time than current computers . Therefore, some NP problems requiring about 300 years or more to find an answer can be solved just in a few seconds . For instance, an algorith mwas developed for factoring numbers on a quantum computer which runs in O((logN) $^{2+\varepsilon}$) steps. This is roughly quadratic in the input size, so factoring a 1000 digit number with such an algorithm would require only a few million steps.

9. Summary

Theoretically, the class NP - complete is important because if one of the problems in NP - complete is proved to have a polynomial time algorithm, then we have polynomial algorithm to solve all the problems in NP . Also, if we find suchan efficient algorithm, then the class Pand NP become the same. However, we believe that those two classes are different, i.e, Pisapropersubset of NP.

Practically, as we saw in this book, we know that many real problems of our interest are NP -complete, and to be NP-Complete means that many scholars and researchers have tried to solve the problem in efficient algorith m but failed to achieve such a good algorithm. We can prove that a problem is NP -complete, just by finding a polynomial time reduction from an existing NP -complete problem to the would -be-proved problem as NP -complete. After proving, we don 'thave to strug gle to find such an efficient algorithm, instead we could solve the problem in different ways: 1) we can use heuristic algorithms to reduce the search space, 2) we may try to find approximated results instead of the exact answers, and 3) in the future, we could applyquantum computation to solve the problems.

References

[1] , .C .
[2] Cormen,Leiserson, Rivest. IntroductiontoAlgorithms .
[3] Sipser. Introductiontothe TheoryofComputation .
[4] Garey, Johnson. Computers and Intractabili ty, A Guide totheTheoryofNP -completeness.

[5] Kitaev, Shen. ClassicalandQuantumComputation .

Epilogue

YoungEunKim () student#: 2000160129 019-302-9214/ gimyoungeun@hotmail.com It was a good exper ience to prepare for the presentation. During preparing, I got chances to study about a given subject in detail, search for information through many books and website s, and discuss with my partner, Gene Moo. I appreciate for Pro f. Hwang to give me the good opportunity.

GeneMooLee ()student#:2000160184 011-9931-2518/ gm0707@hanmail.net http://klug.korea.ac.kr/~gm0707

Iampreparing for studying abroad and my main interest is the theory of computation. So it was good time for me to prepare this subject. I really want everybody c ome to understand by our presentation. If you have any curiosity, feel free to contact me. I want to thank Young -Eun for collaboration and thank Prof. Hwangtogiv eussuch agood opportunity.