Vejovis: Suggesting Fixes for JavaScript Faults

Frolin S. Ocariza, Jr. Karthik Pattabiraman, Ali Mesbah



University of British Columbia

Problem and Motivation

JavaScript in web applications has plenty of reliability issues

JS faults are not trivially fixed [ESEM'13] issues [ESEM'13]

Problem and Motivation

JavaScript in web applications has plenty of reliability issues, these JavaScript faults matter and these JavaScript faults are non-trivial to fix

Faults in JavaScript Code

Study of JS bug reports [ESEM'I3]

- Key Insight: Most (65%) mistakes programmers make in JS propagate to parameters of DOM API method calls
 - DOM API methods: getElementById, getElementsByTagName, jQuery's \$(), etc.
 - We also found that such faults are the most impactful, and take the longest to fix

DOM-RELATED FAULTS

DOM-Related Fault Example



```
var x = "yes";
var elem = document.getElementById(x);
```

DOM-Related Fault Example



DOM-Related Fault Example



Goal

Facilitate the process of fixing DOMrelated faults

Fault Model

- Suggest repairs for DOM-related faults
- Only one mistake made

Study of 190 fixed bug reports from 12 web apps

elem = getElementById(param) elem.innerHTML = "..."

Study of 190 fixed bug reports from 12 web apps

Modify the parameter

elem = getElementById(<u>new_param</u>) elem.innerHTML = "…"

Ways Programmers Fix Faults

Parameter Modification



Study of 190 fixed bug reports from 12 web apps

Ways Programmers Fix Faults

- Parameter Modification
- DOM Element Validation

Study of 190 fixed bug reports from 12 web apps

elem = querySelector(param) elem.innerHTML = "…"

Ways Programmers Fix Faults

Modify the method

- Parameter Modification
- DOM Element Validation
- Method Modification

Study of 190 fixed bug reports from 12 web apps

elem = getElementById(param) elem.innerHTML = "..."

Ways Programmers Fix Faults

- Parameter Modification 27.2%
- DOM Element Validation 25.7%
- Method Modification 24.6%

Structure in DOM Method Parameters

WRONG	RIGHT
<pre>getElementById("no")</pre>	???

Question: How do we know that we should replace "no" with "yes"

Answer: We need to infer programmer *intent*

- Very difficult to do in general, but...

- We have the DOM!



Structure in DOM Method Parameters

WRONG RIGHT getElementById("no") getElementById("yes")

Question: How do we know that we should replace "no" with "yes"

Answer: We need to infer programmer *intent*

- Very difficult to do in general, but...

- We have the DOM!



| |6

CSS Selectors





```
1 firstTag = "div";
2 prefix = "pain-";
3 suffix = "elem";
4 level1 = firstTag + "#" + prefix + suffix;
5 level2 = "span.cls";
6 e = $(level1 + " " + level2); Access
DOM
7 e[0].innerHTML = "new content"; element
using CSS
```

selector

- 1 firstTag = "div";
- 2 prefix = "pain-";
- 3 suffix = "elem";
- 4 level1 = firstTag + "#" + prefix + suffix;
- 5 level2 = "span.cls";
- 6 e = \$(level1 + " " + level2);
- 7 e[0].innerHTML = "new content";

Lines to set up the CSS selector passed to \$()

```
1 firstTag = "div";
2 prefix = "pain-";
3 suffix = "elem";
```

- 4 level1 = firstTag + "#" + prefix + suffix;
- 5 level2 = "span.cls";

7 e[0].innerHTML = "new content";

Constructed selector: div#pain-elem span.cls

- 1 firstTag = "div";
- 2 prefix = "pain-";
- 3 suffix = "elem";
- 4 level1 = firstTag + "#" + prefix + suffix;
- 5 level2 = "span.cls";
- 6 e = \$(level1 + " " + level2);
- 7 e[0].innerHTML = "new content";

Constructed selector: div#pain-elem span.cls





Constructed selector: div#pain-elem span.cls



Main Idea

- Parameter Analysis: What portion of the parameter do we replace?
- **Context Analysis**: How do we perform the replacement in the code?



Parameter Analysis: Dividing Components

Invalid selector: div#pain-elem span.cls



Divide into components

div | # | pain-elem | | span | . | cls



Parameter Analysis: Dividing Components

Invalid selector: div#pain-elem span.cls



Direct DOM Access

Web Application

Subdivide each component according to dynamic backward slice







Parameter Analysis: Dividing Components

Invalid selector: div#pain-elem span.cls



Subdivide each component according to dynamic backward slice

div | # | pain- | elem | | span | . | cls



Construct VALID selectors from current DOM that are "sufficiently close" to the erroneous one



Construct VALID selectors from current DOM that are "sufficiently close" to the erroneous one

Invalid selector: div#pain-elem span.cls

div | # | pain- | elem | | span | . | cls

```
Invalid selector: div#pain-elem span.cls
div | # | pain- | elem | | span | . | cls
```

Invalid selector: div#pain-elem span.cls

div | # | | elem | | span | . | cls List of valid selectors: div#main-elem span.cls div#wrapper span.cls

Invalid selector: div#pain-elem span.cls

div | # | | elem | | span | . | cls

List of valid selectors:

div#main-elem span.cls – **MATCHES PATTERN!** div#wrapper span.cls



Replacement selector: div#main-elem span.cls



Replacement selector: div#main-elem span.cls



Replacement selector: div#main-elem span.cls

Message:

REPLACE STRING LITERAL "pain-" in line 2 with string literal "main-"

38

Context Analysis: Non-"Replace" Messages

- Loops "replace" may be unsafe
- String value doesn't originate from string literal
- Analyze the context!

Implementation



http://ece.ubc.ca/~frolino/projects/vejovis

- Data collection: Rhino and Crawljax
- Pattern matching: Hampi





Evaluation: Research Questions

RQI: What is the accuracy of Vejovis in suggesting a correct repair?

RQ2: How quickly can Vejovis determine possible replacements? What is its performance overhead?

RQ1: Accuracy of Vejovis

Subjects	JS Code Size (KB)
Drupal	213
Ember.js	745
Joomla	434
jQuery	94
Moodle	352
MooTools	101
Prototype	164
Roundcube	729
TYPO3	2252
WikiMedia	160
WordPress	197
43	

- 22 bug reports (2 per app, and randomly chosen)
- Replicated bug and ran with Vejovis

• Recall and Precision

RECALL: 100% if correct fix appears; 0% otherwise

PRECISION: Measure of extraneous suggestions

RQ1: Recall

Subject	Bug Report #I	Bug Report #2
Drupal	✓	v
Ember.js	 ✓ 	 ✓
Joomla	✓	v
jQuery	 ✓ 	×
Moodle	 ✓ 	 ✓
MooTools	 ✓ 	 ✓
Prototype	 ✓ 	 ✓
Roundcube	 ✓ 	×
TYPO3	✓	v
WikiMedia	v	v
WordPress	✓	v

Overall Recall: 91%

RQ1: Precision

Subject	Bug Report #I	Bug Report #2
Drupal	3%	25%
Ember.js	50%	33%
Joomla	1%	1%
jQuery	1%	0%
Moodle	3%	3%
MooTools	50%	50%
Prototype	17%	50%
Roundcube	1%	0%
ΤΥΡΟ3	1%	100%
WikiMedia	4%	۱%
WordPress	3%	۱%

Avg. Precision: 2%

49 suggestions per bug on average!

Improvements

- 1. Edit distance bound
- 2. Ranked suggestions

Alternative: Ranking

Subject	Bug Report #I	Bug Report #2
Drupal	31 / 40	I / 4
Ember.js	I / 2	I / 3
Joomla	I / 88	I / 88
jQuery	2 / 108	-
Moodle	2 / 37	I / 37
MooTools	2/2	I / 2
Prototype	I / 6	I / 2
Roundcube	4 / 79	-
TYPO3	I / 187	1/1
WikiMedia	6 / 24	/ 7
WordPress	13/30	/ 70

#1 Ranking in 13 out of 20 bugs

Conservative ranking

Ranking seems to be beneficial

RQ2: Performance

Takes average of 44 seconds to find correct fix

Worst case: 91.1 seconds (Joomla)

Threats to Validity

- External: Evaluated on 11 web apps
- Internal: Took bugs from earlier empirical study

Conclusion

Vejovis: replacement suggestor for DOM-related faults

Project Link: <u>http://ece.ubc.ca/~frolino/projects/vejovis</u>

Evaluated on 22 real-world bugs

- ► Good recall 91%
- Correct fix ranked #1 in 13/20 cases
- Average 44 s to complete