Types of pluractionality and plurality across domains in ?ay?aju0em Gloria Mellesmoen and Marianne Huijsmans - University of British Columbia

Introduction

?ay?aj̆uθəm (Comox-Sliammon) is a Central Salish language spoken in BC, Canada. There are an estimated 47 L1 speakers (FPCC 2018).

Unmarked predicates can have either singular or plural reference in both the nominal and verbal domains. C_1C_2 reduplication and ablaut can both mark event plurality. C₁C₂ reduplication also marks plurality in the nominal domain.

(1) a. Context: M. rips two pieces of paper to write notes.

Marianne rip-ctr-pass Marianne paper 'Marianne ripped two pieces of paper.'



p<a>χ-at-as PL~small C₁C₂.PL~paper rip<ABL.PL>-CTR-3ERG 'She ripped lots of little pieces of paper.'

We assume a lattice structure in the domain of events and the domain of entities (e.g. Krifka 1989, 1992; Landman 2000; Lasersohn 1995; Link 1998). C_1C_2 reduplication refers to a sum of distinct event atoms/a sum of entities. It indicates event-external pluractionality.

In contrast, ablaut forms an atomic event made up of multiple subevents, similar to group nouns in English. It indicates event-internal pluractionality.

?ay?ajੱuθəm pluractionals provide evidence that event-internal pluractionals parallel group nouns, while event-external pluractionals parallel ordinary plurals (Wood 2007; Henderson 2017).

C_1C_2 Reduplication: Event-External, Spatio-Temporally Distributed Plurals

C₁C₂ reduplication indicates a sum of events that must be distributed in time and space and can be distributed over multiple objects.

(2) a. θəxw~θəxw-?əm C₁C₂.PL~stab-A.INTR 'She is going around stabbing people.' b. **qəx**w~qəxw-t-as C₁C₂.PL~pound-ctr-3erg 'He is pounding (multiple piles in).'

Examples (3) and (4) show that events must be distributed in **both** time and space.

(3) **Context:** I tell you to just wait a moment as we get ready to leave... (4) **Context:** You have a view of a city as it gets dark and see lights coming on, here an **# Context:** Streetlights all coming on at the same time. **# Context:** I press a button on my keys to lock all the doors of my car. lək~ləkl-it=č tə=?imin **х^wәw**~х^wәẃ C₁C₂.PL~lock-CTR=1sg.subj det=door C₁C₂.PL~turn.on 'I'm locking the doors.' 'They're coming on.'

It is compatible with activities, achievements, and accomplishments, but not states; it must pluralize events. C₁C₂ reduplicated activities show that subevents may be contiguous, resembling one extended, spatio-temporally distributed event (5a), as long as there is distribution in space (5b).

(5) a. **Context:** I walked around campus and back to my residence without sto **?əm**~?im-aš-uł=č ?i x^wa=č qək^w-əm=an C₁C₂.PL~walk-PST=1sg.subj CNJ NEG=1sg.subj stop-MDL=1sg.co 'I went for a walk and I didn't stop.'

We analyze C_1C_2 reduplication as event-external pluractionality, adapting the denotation from Lasersohn (1995:252).

(6) Formal Analysis: $[[C_1C_2]] = \lambda e \lambda P_{\langle e_1 \rangle} [*P(e) \& \forall e', e'' \Box e \neg [\tau(e') \circ \tau(e'')] \& \neg [\sigma(e') \circ \sigma(e'')]]$

C₁C₂ reduplication also applies in the nominal domain. In both domains, it creates a plurality of distinct atoms. Applying (6) in the nominal domain raises problems b entities can exist at the same time, and distinct entities will trivially satisfy the spatial distribution requirement. We propose that temporal and spatial distribution requirements apply only when the atoms are events and arise because events are individuated by their spatial and temporal traces (Henderson 2017). The denotation applies differently in the two domains due to ontological differences in how events and entities exist as distinct atoms.

(7) a.	mimaw 'cat'	<mark>məm</mark> ~mimaw	'cats'	b.	θək∞načtən	'chair'	<mark>∂ək</mark> ~~0ək™načtən	'chairs'	(8) $[[C_1C_2]] = \lambda x \lambda P_{} [*P(x)]$	
--------	-------------	-------------------------	--------	----	------------	---------	-------------------------------	----------	---	--

Ablaut: Event-Internal, Grouped Plurals

Ablaut occurs with telic predicates and with atelic predicates that involve punctual repeatable events. It is not found with bare states or homogenous activities; it must be able to map to distinct event atoms. Ablaut pluractionals cannot be satisfied by a simple plurality of events. Actions must be grouped into a single larger event. The nature of the grouping is partially determined by the aktionsart of the predicate and partially determined by context, involving notions like shared telos, participants, time, or space (cf. Wood 2007).

Multiple cutting events in (9) are not sufficient unless the events are grouped around using up the object. In (10), a common goal is also important, but involves intention and shared time and space. (11) involves grouped participants.

(9) Root: *kəp-* 'to get cut (with scissors)' (10)k<a>p-at-as-uł. CUT<ABL.PL>-CTR-3ERG-PST

'She cut it up (with scissors).'

✓ **context 1:** There's a piece of paper that's been totally cut into pieces.

x context 2: There's a piece of paper with multiple cuts around the edges.

x context 3: There are different colored ribbons, with a length cut from each.

The denotation for ablaut must involve multiple events that satisfy the singular predicate, but events must be grouped into a single larger event that is not simply a sum of the individual events. In (12), an atomic event is mapped to the sum of events that constitute it by a contextually-given membership function, where each constituting event e' satisfies the predicate.

(12) $[[\langle a \rangle]]^g = \lambda P_{\langle e \rangle} \lambda e [atom(e) \land \forall e' [e' \sqcap f_i(e) \rightarrow P(e')]]$

We adapt Henderson's (2017) analysis of event-internal pluractionals, using a membership function (based on Barker's 1992 treatment of group nouns), which we propose can be based on notions like shared telos, as well as shared time and space. The Kaqchikel pluractionals that Henderson analyzes involve large numbers of temporal configuration is thus analogous to the spatial configuration of 'swarm'-type nouns. Ablaut pluractionals may apply to as few as two repetitions of the event and may involve temporal distribution if the events are still grouped into a larger whole.

(13)

h<a>kw-at-əm sa?a tayš Gloria hang.out<**ABL.PL**>-CTR-PASS Gloria two blanket 'Gloria hung out two blankets.'

This makes them more analogous to 'committee'-type nouns, which do not require a particular spatial configuration or a large number of members. However, subevents of an ablaut pluractional must fall within the temporal-spatial trace of the group event; they do not exist independently in space and time, unlike the individuals constituting a 'committee-type' noun. We take this to fall out from the ontological differences between individuals and events.

Henry c. **yəm**~yəm-t-əm C₁C₂.PL~kick-ctr-pass Henry 'Henry is kicking Bruno around.'

Bruno

Bruno

opping.	b.	Context: We're walking from here to the gym
	#	?əm∼?imaš=št
CONJ		C ₁ C ₂ .PL~walk=1PL.SUBJ
		'We're walking.' (🖌 for 'We're walking around')

)	<mark>λ<a>s</mark> -at-as	Root: <i>Xas- 'to get punched'</i>	(11)	ṗ <a< th=""></a<>			
	punch <abl.pl>-ctr-3erg</abl.pl>						
	'She punched it up.'						
	Context 1: Somebody is punching a punching bag for a workout.						
	x context 2: Someone is intermittently punching for someone's attention.						
).							

(14) ?uk̈́^w č<a>t-at-as cut<**ABL.PL**>-CTR-3ERG 'He cut the wood all up.'

ф^wәух wood

Context: Someone cut up a tree. It took him several days to cut it all up.

	omparisoi	1 and Discussion						
The p event	The pluractionals can apply to the same root. C ₁ C ₂ reduplication occurs if events are distributed, while ablaut occurs if multiple events are grouped into a larger whole.							
Co	ontext	Different colored ribbons, with a length cut from each.	A piece of paper has been cut into pieces.					
C ₁	C ₂ Reduplication	<mark>kəp</mark> ~kəp-t-as C ₁ C ₂ .PL~cut-CTR-3ERG 'She cut them.'	# kəp ~kəp-t-as					
At	blaut	# k<a>p -at-as	<pre>k<a>p-at-as cut<abl.pl>-CTR-3ERG 'She cut it up.'</abl.pl></pre>					
● ?ay dor	y?ajuθəm uses the s main. providing more	ame morpheme (C_1C_2 reduplication) to mark the same	type of plurality in both the nominal and verbal of entities and events.					
 ?ay that fur 	y?aju0əm <mark>ablaut</mark> plur an spatio-temporal co ther parallels betwee	ractionals show that group event membership may be d onfiguration. This is more akin to 'committee'-type group en the domain of entities and events in the way that plur	letermined through notions like shared telos rather p nouns than 'swarm'-type group nouns, showing rals and groups are formed.					
• The	e data highlight diffe	erences in the ontology of events and entities in how the	ey exist as distinct atoms.					
Acknowl Vivier, J Matthey	ledgements : We are deeply g Jerry Francis, Phyllis Domin wson, for insightful feedbac	rateful to the ʔayʔaj̆uθəm speakers we work with: Joanne Francis, Elsie Pa ic, Maggie Wilson, and Mary Harry. We also thank members of the TAP Lal ck and support. This project is supported by the Jacobs Research Fund an	aul, Freddie Louie, Karen Galligos, Betty Wilson, Marion Harry, Margare b and the Salish Working Group, particularly Henry Davis and Lisa nd a SSHRC Insight Grant (435-2016-1694) awarded to Henry Davis.					
References http://www. semantics. <i>matters</i> , eds Godehard.	• Barker, Chris. 1992. Group terms in Eng <u>fpcc.ca/files/PDF/FPCC-LanguageRepo</u> In <i>Semantics and contextual expression</i> , Is. Ivan Sag and Anna Szabolcsi, 29–54. 1998. Algebraic semantics in language a	glish: Representing groups as atoms. <i>Journal of Semantics</i> 9 (1): 69–93. • First Peoples' Cultural Council. 2018. ort-180716-WEB.pdf. • Henderson, R. 2017. Swarms: Spatiotemporal grouping across domains. <i>NLLT</i> 35: 161–2 eds. Renate Bartsch, Theo Vennemann, and Johan van Benthem, 75–115. Dordrecht: Foris. • Krifka, Manfred. 1 Stanford: CSLI. • Landman, Fred. 2000. <i>Events and plurality: The Jerusalem lectures</i> . Dordrecht: Kluwer Academ <i>nd philosophy</i> . Stanford: CSLI. • Wood, Ellen. 2007. The semantic typology of pluractionals. Doctoral dissertatio	Report on the status of B.C. First Nations languages. Retrieved from 203. • Krifka, Manfred. 1989. Nominal reference, temporal constitution and quantification in event 1992. Thematic relations as links between nominal reference and temporal constitution. In <i>Lexical</i> nic. • Lasersohn, Peter. 1995. <i>Plurality, conjunction and events</i> . Dordrecht: Kluwer Academic. • Link, on, UC Berkeley.					

Root: $p = \lambda \tilde{s}$ - 'to rise to the surface' a>λ<i>š e.to.surface<**ABL.PL**> ney surfaced.' /# 'He keeps surfacing.' **context 1:** A flock of ducks coming to the surface. **context 2:** A swimmer repeatedly coming up for air.

e₁ ⊕⁄e₂

Figure 1. The membership function (based on Barker 1992:77).

 $e_1 \oplus e_3$