

THE GREATEST MYTHS & **MYSTERIES OF ALL IN DEFENCE OF TROPICAL** FORESTS THE ONSLAUGHT **DN TH TROPICAL FORESTS**" IS REAL !!!!! All blames are on tropical forests ecological, environmental, social, and economic-wise



PERSPECTIVE # 1: % CHANGE OF TOTAL LAND AREA UNDER FOREST COVER

COUNTRY/YEAR	1971	1976	1981	1986	1989
USA	31.9	31.8	31.0	28.9	28.3
GERMANY	29.8	29.8	30.0	30.0	29.5
AUSTRALIA	18.8	(14.1	13.9	13.9	13.5
NETHERLAND	7	CANG	?	8.0	8.0
UK 1	2	3	5 20	9.0	5.7
FRANCE	2	35		28.6	26.6
BELGIUM	~ ~	7 ?	?	21.0	21.0
DENMARK	(?	1 ?	?	11.4	11.2
MALAYSIA	1 :	30	66.6	60.0	57.8
INDONESIA	/ ?	?	45.0	72.5	60.0
PHILIPPINES	?	?	31.0	24.5	21.5
THAILAND	?	?	47.0	35.0	28.0
BRUNEI	?	?	81.0	80.8	80.5



PERSPECTIVE # 2: AVERAGE ANNUAL REFORESTATION 1980s

COUNTRY	% ANNUAL REFORESTATION	X 1000 ha	
USA	0.6	1775	
GERMANY	D RAPPIN	62	
AUSTRALIA	8.00 0.00	62	
NETHERLAND	0.66	2	
UK	(1.1)	40	
FRANCE	-0.4	51	
BELGIUM	2.5	AN 10	
DENMARK	2	N.M.	
MALAYSIA	0.2	25	
INDONESIA	0.2	164	
PHILIPPINES	0.9	63	
THAILAND	0.2	31	
BRUNEI	1	2	

lource: World Resources 1989-1990. WRI, Washington D.C.



PERSPECTIVE # 3a: ANNUAL LOG/ROUNDWOOD PRODUCTION (x 1,000 CUBIC METERS)



Source: a) World Resources 1990-1991. WRI, Washington D.C. b) ASIAWEEK, November 13, 1992



PERSPECTIVE # 3b: ANNUAL LOG/ROUNDWOOD PRODUCTION (x 1,000 CUBIC METERS)

	UCTION		AN
1985- 1987(a)	1969(b)	1989	1982
41393	46262	11	12
39890	43727	12	14
38927	39640	13	20
36900	38734	14	11
05822	38503	15	1
33784	35650	16	21
31381	35348	17	11
31583	35332	18	2
23892	33103	19	1
32650	31936	20	17
	1965- 1967(a) 41393 39690 30927 36900 55822 33764 31361 31583 31583 23692	1967(a) 1969(b) 41393 46262 39890 43727 38927 39640 36900 38734 35822 38503 33784 35650 31381 35348 31583 35332 3892 33103	1985- 1967(a) 1969(b) 1969 41393 46262 11 39690 43727 12 38927 39640 13 36900 36734 14 85822 38503 15 33784 35650 16 31381 35348 17 51583 35332 18 33992 33103 19



PERSPECTIVE # 3c: ANNUAL LOG/ROUNDWOOD PRODUCTION (x 1,000 CUBIC METERS)









	CO2, CH4,	
COUNTRY	RANK #	% CONTRIBUTION
	/	7 712.0 10.5
CHINA		
JAPAN Germany	7	
UK INDONESIA -		
THAILAND		
HILIPPINES	20	0.7

MALAYSIA

Source: a) Nengah Wirawan. 1991b) World Resources 1990-91, WRI, Washington DC

ERSPECTIVE # 5: GREENHOUSE INDEX (CO2, CH4, CFCe)





6.Germany, 7.Canada, 9. Korea (Rep. of), 10.Italy, 11.Mexico, 12. S. Africa, 13. Iran,
15. France, 16. Brazil, 17. Spain, 18. Ukraine, 19. Australia, 20. Saudi Arabia, 21. Poland
23. Turkey, 24. Kazakhstan, 25. Algeria, 27. Venezuela, 28. Egypt, 29. UAE, 30. Netherlands







Source: a) ASIA PACIFIC FOREST INDUSTRIES, JULY 1992, AS SEEN IN ITTO TFMU VOL 3(2): APRIL 1993



Overall Philosophy, Science & Economics of Tropical Forests

"TROPICAL FORESTS FORM MORE THAN 50% OF THE LAND MASS, ALTHOUGH THEIR PRODUCTS FORM LESS THAN 10% OF INTERNATIONAL TRADE"

A VERY IMPORTANT ECOSYSTEM INDEED



Beyond Catch Phrases

WHAT DOES SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENT REALLY MEAN?

*The word **"economic**" is missing !! Venn Diagram:



Beyond Catch Phrases



A better understanding of the idea of SD can be found in a report by the World

Conservation Strategy -- predated the Brudtland Report

The emerging paradigm of SD: "...seeks to develop strategies and tools to respond to five broad requirements



- Integration of conservation and development;
- Satisfaction of basic human needs;
- Achievement of equity and social justice;
- Provision of social self-determination and cultural diversity; and
- Maintenance of ecological integrity.

New 12-04-06

Beyond Catch Phrases

WHAT DOES SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENT REALLY MEAN?

SD is ultimately a frustrating idea (when one tries) to turn it into a useable concept.... and to apply it to investment decisions or national account - an exercise that exposes some of its weakness but as a broad goal, SD is useful in a sense. Like many important ideas, it is better than nothing.<<Sometimes I call SD an "Alternative Economics" not "An Alternative to Economics". >>

New 16-06-06

WHAT DOES SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENT REALLY MEAN?

IT IS AN "ALTERNATIVE ECONOMICS" <u>NOT</u> "AN ALTERNATIVE TO ECONOMICS" <u>!!</u>



"ALTERNATIVE ECONOMICS"

The concept has four benefits:

(1) SD has to crisscross economist & ecologists to look much more carefully at their models and their definitions.

(2) SD has to get some specific tools to measure the effect of human activity on the environment. Economic indicators, such as GNP have to include the depletion of natural resources and their degradation through pollution.

(3) SD has to develop a set of criteria into a development project to analyze and review wider policy implications and choices in terms of ECONOMIC, SOCIAL & CULTURAL, ECOLOGY, and ENVIRONMENT

(4) SD is on its way to becoming a philosophical concept that will infuse all work on development, whether in developed and/or developing countries!

Overall, never have these two words (SD) been used so much with so much inconsistency!!.... It is fast becoming a landfill site for every environmental idea....For most parts, few seem to care about the words mean, or whether they even have any real meaning!

WHAT DOES SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENT REALLY MEAN?

Some Thoughts:

(1) HAVE WE REACH A POINT WHERE SD HAS BECOME A HARZADOUS CONCEPT TODAY, ESPECIALLY IN DEVELOPING COUNTRIES?

(2) IF THE WORDS SD HAVE BECOME A CRITERION IN EVALUATING WORTHINESS OF AN ENVIRONMENTAL PROJECT WITHOUT DUE REGARDS TO PROPER ECONOMICS - fulfilling the five broad requirements I mentioned earlier - THEN THE ECONOMIC OF A NON-SUSTAINABLE ENVIRONMENTAL PROJECT IS SIMPLY IRRELEVANT WHEN COMPARED TO PROJECT THAT HAS LOW AND UNECONOMIC YIELD BUT IS SUSTAINABLE !

New 16-06-06







PROVIDE SOCIAL NEEDS e.g. Latin forests go local

• **Bolivia** granted municipal governments the right to request up to 20% of the national forests for use by local groups, and it passed on 25% of the forestry license fees to create municipal forestry units.

• Honduras allowed municipalities to regain control over 28% of the country's forests, which belonged to them but had been managed by the central government.

POLEX: CIFOR's Forest Policy Expert Listserver, last logged on 14-June- 2004)

PROVIDE SOCIAL NEEDS e.g. Latin forests go local Guatemala requires municipalities to create environmental offices and has encouraged them to lead reforestation projects. Municipalities can keep 50% of the revenues from concessions and exploitation licenses.

• **Nicaragua** permits the national forestry service to hand over regulatory responsibilities to municipalities along with resources to do the work. For the first time municipal representatives sit on key forestry commissions.

(POLEX: CIFOR's Forest Policy Expert Listserver, last logged on 14-June- 2004)

Terima Kasih