Component	Desired Characteristics	Weighting
Introduction	 Clear understanding of the organization's reputational history, whether significant or not Clear understanding of why reputation management is important for the organization in the present and into the future Logical identification of the key audiences in consideration of the organization's reputation (i.e. which audiences' attitudes and perceptions matter most to the organization's reputation?) Selection seems appropriate for the type of organization and the industry in which it operates 	15%
	 For each key audience, logical source(s) that will be used to determine the organization's reputation from that audience's perspective If one source can provide a balanced/unbiased perspective, one is enough; otherwise, more than one source is required Brief explanations of how sources are expected to provide insights 	20%
Part 1: Audit Plan	 Sources to develop an inventory of the company's tools and resources to influence its reputation Consideration of the company's owned (e.g. company website, social media), earned (e.g. media relations), and paid (e.g. advertising) sources, if it uses them Brief explanation of how the chosen sources are expected to provide insights into the organizations reputation 	10%
Part 2: Reputation Audit	 Outline and analysis of organization's reputation with each key audience Summary of findings – and synthesized into a judgment of Poor, Weak, Average, Strong, or Exemplary reputation overall Any approach to rating is acceptable; no specific rating scale required 	20%
	 Overall recommendations for the organization to repair, improve, or reinforce its reputation with key audiences Can be organized in any logical way (e.g. structured by audience; or by key themes; or by channels; or) High-level approach (vs. deep details) is acceptable, as long as it is applied to the organization and not generic 	15%
Conclusion	 Solid insights and/or takeaways about planning and then implementing an audit Focused on the challenges and successful strategies or tactics employed by the student in completing this assignment 	10%
References and professionalism	 Proofreading! Meets Written Assignment Standards At least 8 distinct sources in research Consistent APA-style citations and references list Optional Appendices (if used, they must add value to the report) 	10%