

TEACHING DOSSIER

John P Egan, Senior Manager
Centre for Teaching, Learning & Technology
Faculty of Provost,
The University of British Columbia

This Teaching Dossier is a work in progress document and provides a concise and reflective synopsis of my teaching and learning philosophy, contributions, outcomes and aspirations as a university teaching scholar in the field of education.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction / Context
2. Teaching and Learning Philosophy
3. Major Teaching Contributions
4. Assessment and Evaluation of Teaching

1. INTRODUCTION

As Senior Manager Strategic Curriculum Services in UBC's Centre for Teaching Learning and Technology my primary role is to promote excellence in curriculum development at the University. However I continue to teach in the Faculty Education.

I've taught at the tertiary level since 1998. This has included undergraduate courses in adult education, social foundations of education and sociology of education, as well as post-graduate courses in adult education, educational technology (increasingly referred to as *learning technology*), research methods and public health. Much of this has been at UBC, though I also taught at the University of Technology Sydney and University of New South Wales whilst completing a post-doctoral fellowship in Australia

My graduate work is in adult education (MA and PhD; both from UBC) although the focus was on community education related to HIV/AIDS prevention. Both programs presumed a solid understanding of andragogy, pedagogy, instructional design and best teaching practices. However like most of my peers in my MA program, I received no such training prior to teaching adults in private post-secondary schools (integrated ESL with a focus on tourism) beginning in 1994. However the wider university environment offered numerous professional development opportunities of which I availed myself whenever possible.

I have not taught in a face-to-face (F2F) environment for about five years. Today I teach in the Faculty of Education's Master of Educational Technology (MET) program, a wholly online post-graduate program. My course, ETEC565A, is the "applications" course, where students learn to integrate strategic decision-making with sound instructional design to create rich online learning spaces. It's an intensive, challenging course for students—and for me as the instructor! Each section of ETEC565A has up to 25 students; I teach between two and four sections per term...usually two (of three) terms per year. Demand for the course has been high and I have taught it for up to four consecutive teaching terms.

Students in the MET are education professionals, mostly working as teachers or school leaders in the K-12 system in British Columbia. Despite it being an educational technology post-graduate program, not all MET students are technophiles. A number enrol because it is a distance course: those living in rural BC either pursue graduate studies in education via distance—or move to be nearer a university offering such a program. There are material benefits for K-12 teachers who complete a post-graduate degree in education in BC: an annual salary increase of up to \$10,000 per year.

I hope to teach in a F2F or blended/hybrid environment again, but I'm finding online teaching both fascinating and rewarding. I am, however, finding it difficult to source empirical studies on the effectiveness of different course designs and pedagogical approaches. The FCP hopefully affords me a chance to contribute to that (limited) literature.

This teaching dossier is a work-in-progress. It is reflective of my current perspectives, which have evolved over time and will continue to do so.

2. APPROACH TO TEACHING & LEARNING

As an educator working in higher education I believe it's important that I understand--and, from an ethical perspective, endorse--much of what the university requires to demonstrate "learning." I could not work in higher education if I felt the enterprise served the interests of students poorly. Similarly, I reject the notion that traditional pedagogical methods are always bad. Openness to new approaches is as important as maintaining standards.

Students who successfully complete a degree at UBC often use their credential as evidence of their readiness for specific roles in the work world. Therefore, part of my responsibility as an educator is to ensure the work they submit to me will bear up to scrutiny. Any industrious student who completes a course I teach should leave with the competencies described in the course objectives. There's always a way to make it work if the student is willing to work. Always.

Flexibility in terms of process is, at times, appropriate--so long as the importance of producing polished, substantive work in a timely manner is not lost. To deliver work on time and to professional standards is critical to real-world success. Or, as I tell my students "life is pass-fail: your work is either good enough or it's not. There are rarely chances for a 'do-over.'"

Any fluidity in standards is not to me the practice of social justice; rather it is the opposite. Assessing students' work to a lower standard because of their lived experience--with respect to gender, ethnicity or race, sexual orientation, social class, or dis/ability--is patronizing, and all too often perpetuates marginalization. Had I been held to a differing standard during my K-12 or undergraduate education because of my working class family, I would have been set up for success, rather than failure, later in life.

Depending on the course, students and institutional context, I employ a range of pedagogical strategies. Lecturing ("transmission") is not part of my core teaching toolkit, but I have used it—relatively effectively. More often I adapt Socratic methods and facilitate subject-oriented discussions. I do not assign readings for their consumption and regurgitation; rather I try to offer a range of resources with which students can ground themselves for subsequent learning activities. I tend not to validate "right" answers; I strive to direct students away from wrong ones. My methods place the responsibility for learning on my students, even as responsibility for their having the resources available to do so remains mine. Integrative assignments that require the synthesis of applied knowledge are at the core my approach to assessment. In the last few years I have found great utility in portfolio-based assessment—even as this has increased my assessment workload.

With much of my teaching having been in professional programs (education, but also public health), linkages to practice have been integral—though none of the courses have had an explicit service learning component. However the degree to which students comport themselves in a professional manner remains important—and a key aspect of how student performance is formatively and summatively assessed.

My Teaching Perspective Inventory profile reveals an unremarkable, relatively conservative educator: I have no dominant perspective and only Apprenticeship is recessive (with Social Reform just above the cut-off for recessive). Nurturing, Developmental and Transmission are clustered together between recessive and dominant. I have taken the TPI previously at least twice; each time my scores have flattened out. This largely correlates with the broadening and deepening of my teaching experience, along with a more robust and varied pedagogical toolkit. I think this serves me and my students well.

3. MAJOR TEACHING CONTRIBUTIONS

I have taught numerous courses at the tertiary level, sat on one student's magistral committee, delivered a few professional development workshops. I've also made a handful of scholarly contributions related to SoTL. All of these are detailed in the Appendix to this document.

Were I to identify my key accomplishments they would be:

- Co-authoring and delivering an innovative online post-graduate applications course in educational technology (ETEC565A)
- Receiving the University's Graduate Teaching Prize in 2001
- Publishing and presenting two SoTL papers at peer-reviewed conferences.

ETEC565A has generated a great deal of interest both internal and external to the University. Numerous UBC instructors have been granted access to what is widely considered an innovative and exemplary course. There are multiple pedagogical approaches, shared and self-directed learning activities, and students almost universally produce work of a high professional standard.

The teaching prize was one of only ten awarded at UBC in 2001. During my magistral and doctoral studies I had taught undergraduate courses in teacher education, educational technology and adult education.

I have successfully written two conference papers (both for refereed conference proceedings) about ETEC565A. These have been examples of reflective practice, since I have not collected any data. Despite this limitation these have been well received at conferences. I hope to adapt these for publication in journals in the future.

4. ASSESSMENT OF TEACHING: CRITICAL REFLECTIONS

In 2001 I received one of ten UBC Graduate Teaching Awards. Ten years ago now, which seems incredible!

Currently I rely on SEoT data as well as formative feedback from students. From time to time colleagues ask to observe my course (usually if they will be teaching online in the near future), which also leads to some feedback. Previously I have had peers formatively—but formally—review my F2F teaching. I'm hoping to broaden my current

approach during the FCP. My evaluations are mostly strong, with a minority of students who find my assessments too challenging—a good balance, to my mind.

On quantitative measures the median and mode scores are almost always at the top of the scale (some courses have been evaluated via CourseEval; others by the Faculty of Education's internal SEoT unit).

Broadly speaking, this feedback reflects:

- I have high expectations of my students
- Most feel I provide them adequate support to meet these expectations
- My courses provide students opportunities to work as a reflective practitioner

As well, because of my background in learning technologies colleagues often look to me for advice or insight. I'd like to leverage SoTL research methods to both improve my practice and to give credence to my ostensive expertise. In the longer term I would like to develop a 3-5 SoTL research plan.

APPENDICES: SUPPORTING DOCUMENTATION (4-10 PAGES)

MAJOR TEACHING CONTRIBUTIONS

Courses Taught

Institution	Session	Course	Enrolment
UBC	2011S	ETEC565A ("Applications")	83
UBC	2010/2011W	ETEC565A ("Applications")	38
UBC	2010S	ETEC565A ("Applications")	26
UBC	2009/2010W	ETEC565A ("Applications")	21
UBC	2009S	ETEC565A ("Applications")	71
UBC	2007S	EDST 428 (SOCL FNDRN OF ED)	34
UBC	2006/2007W	EDUC 500 (RES MTHD IN EDUC)	15
UBC	2006S	ADHE 327 (TEACHING ADULTS)	18
UBC	2006S	ADHE 327 (Teaching Adults)	15
UBC	2005/2006W	EDST 428 (Social Foundations of Education)	27
UBC	2005/2006W	EDUC 500 (RES MTHD IN EDUC)	27
UTS	2004 W	Community Education Strategies (015261)	
UNSW	2003S	Influencing Health Beliefs (MEED9013)	
UBC	2002/2003W	EDST 314 (ANALYSIS OF EDUC)	19
UBC	2002S	EDST 428 (Social Foundations of Education)	36
UBC	2002/2003W	EDST 314 (ANALYSIS OF EDUC)	33
UBC	2002S	EDST 428 (Social Foundations of Education)	33
UBC	2002S	EDUC 490 (Multimedia Pedagogy)	15
UBC	2001/2002W	ADHE 329 (Designing Short Courses, Workshops & Seminars)	10
UBC	2001/2002W	EDST 314 (ANALYSIS OF EDUC)	33
UBC	2001/2002W	EDST 314 (ANALYSIS OF EDUC)	34
UBC	2000/2001W	ADHE 329 (Designing Short Courses, Workshops & Seminars)	34
UBC	2000/2001W	EDST 314 (ANALYSIS OF EDUC)	26
UBC	2000/2001W	EDUC 490 (Multimedia Pedagogy)	15
UBC	1999/2000W	EDUC 490 (Multimedia Pedagogy)	15
UBC	1998/1999W	ADHE 329 (Designing Short Courses, Workshops & Seminars)	28
UBC	1997/1998W	ADHE 329 (Designing Short Courses, Workshops & Seminars)	31

Graduate Students Supervised and/or Co-supervised

Student	Degree	Major	Status	Institution	Role	Dates		Co-supervisors
						Start	End	
Jason Nickerson	M.A.	Adult Education	Achieved Candidacy	UBC Faculty of Education	Thesis Committee Member	Sep, 2007	Aug, 2009	Daniel J Pratt

Invited Presentations

Title	Conference or Event	Location	Date	Role
E-portfolios: A gaze across UBC	Teaching for Academic Growth e-portfolio community of practice	University of British Columbia	Feb, 2009	Presenter
Constructive Alignment: Tools for sophisticated instructional design	Teaching for Academic Growth Fall Seminar Series	University of British Columbia	Sep, 2007	Presenter
Atlas.ti: Qualitative Software	Qualitative Data Analysis Working Group	University of British Columbia	Mar, 2007	Presenter

Relevant Publications

- Egan, John P. "Active Learning Strategies in an Online Educational Technology Applications Course: the Value of Narratives". Proceedings Editor: Eli Cohen. *Insite 2011: Informing Science and IT Education* (11th Conference). 2011. Novi Sad, Serbia: 175-186.
- Egan, John P. "Launching an online applications course in educational technology: aligning theory, pedagogy, and design". Proceedings Editors: . Lionarkis, Antonis. *Open and Distance learning for Global Collaboration and Educational Development*. 5th International Conference in Open and Distance Learning 2009. Athens, Greece. Nov, 2009.
- Poole, Gary, John P Egan and Iqbal Isabeau. "Innovation in Collaborative Health Research Training: The Role of Active Learning ". *Journal of Interprofessional Care*. 23.2 (March 2009): 148 - 155.
- Egan, John P. "Facilitation". *International encyclopedia of adult education*. . Ed. Leona M English. London: Palgrave Macmillan, 2005. 251 - 253.

(intentionally left blank)