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**First Impressions:**

* Is there a strong focus on primary resources with secondary resources to being used to complement them?
* Are figures easy to read and understand from first glance?

**Organization:**

* Has the writer effectively used heading and subheadings to organize the content into small easy to read texts?
* Does the table match the headings and sub-headings?
* Do the sections follow a logical order?

**Introduction:**

* Has the introduction kept in mind the reader of the report?
* Does the introduction add value to the reader?

**Methods:**

* Has the data been given enough context to help the reader understand its scale and significance?
* Has the method by which the data been collected been explained in appropriate detail?

**Data Section:**

* Has the data been enumerated explicitly?
* Has the data been interpreted appropriately?

**Conclusions:**

* Is a clear and tangible interpretation of the data provided?
* Are the recommendations feasible based on the data?

**Visuals/Figures:**

* Have the graphs been clearly titled?
* Do all graphs have a corresponding graph?
* Are the graphs organized in a logical order based on the order of the paper?

**References:**

* Is an appropriate and consistent referencing style used?

**Style/Tone:**

* Has the correct tone been used?
* Has the “YOU” attitude been used?

**Grammar/Typos**:

* Are there any grammatical errors?
* Are there any stylistic choices that impede the understanding of the reader?