

Science is an essentially anarchic enterprise: theoretical anarchism is more humanitarian and more likely to encourage progress than its law-and-order alternatives.

Paul Feyerabend, Against Method

Substitute evaluation for science in the Feyerabend quote and then consider the contemporary practice of evaluation. As practice and as theory, evaluation embodies an anarchist epistemology and additionally some evaluators employ an anarchist epistemology. It is this anarchist epistemology that makes evaluation a powerful means to progress.¹ If ones looks at the explosion of theoretical ideas in evaluation, methods used (evaluation borrows liberally not just from psychology and sociology but from all the social sciences, the arts, philosophy, and indeed wherever something pragmatically useful can be found), forms of human interaction, and ways of representing knowledge one would conclude that indeed as a discipline evaluation already embodies an anarchist epistemology. Below, to the left, is a list of signs of the anarchist epistemology in evaluation.

In addition there are particular evaluators that assume an anarchist epistemology. In other words, in doing an evaluation some evaluators adopt this view, preferring an open-ended stance to what the issues are, what will count as evidence, how that evidence will be created, and so on. There are also approaches to evaluation that are likely to build from an anarchist epistemology, approaches that are inductive, that do not use pre-ordinate designs, that are responsive, that build community, that eschew elitist knowledge and skills, and that are opportunistic. Below to the right is a list of evaluation approaches that often reflect an anarchist epistemology.

Anarchism is the rejection of all forms of domination. And so, an anarchist epistemology in evaluation is a rejection of domination of one method over any or all others; of any single ideology; of any single idea of progress; of scientific chauvinism; of the propriety of intellectuals; of evaluators over service recipients and providers; of academic text over oral and other written traditions; of certainty.

The domain of evaluation is vast, including all human endeavor as well as the physical world and the objects, natural or constructed, of that physical world. This is a complex, large and unknown domain and if evaluation is a means to progress then keeping our options open, imagining possibilities rather than being constricted by a singular notion of science, method or inquiry is necessary. The adoption of any single epistemology perspective narrows the potential for progress, constrains the humanitarian project of betterment through fostering well-developed human beings and communities.

Signs of an anarchist epistemology in evaluation:

Quantitative/qualitative debate Political endorsement of RCT as a gold standard And the resistance to it Pragmatism Opportunism Knowledge representation

Anarchist epistemology as typical in particular approaches to evaluation:

Empowerment evaluation Fourth generation evaluation Transformative evaluation Feminist evaluation Responsive evaluation Appreciative inquiry

¹ Elsewhere I have described the notions of progress entailed in evaluation. All approaches to evaluation presuppose an ameliorative assumption and although this "making things better" occurs through different means, evaluation is fundamentally a helping practice. How that "making things better" is conceptualized is dependent on particular conceptions of knowledge and, in particular, how knowledge contributes to or is part of social change. Much of evaluation assumes either a social engineering model and instrumental assumptions about knowledge for social action OR an action research model and constructivist assumptions about knowledge. The critical point here is not whether one or the other is right or better, but that these multiple perspectives thrive and co-exist within evaluation, and regardless of knowledge perspective, share the progress or "making things better" project. Feyerabend contends that progress should be understood in "any one of the senses one cares to choose:" the point is not about which sense of progress is better and that all senses of progress are necessary for progress.

Methods for an anarchist epistemology

- any social science method
- rational argument
- counter induction
- > autonomous learning
- egalitarian groups
- grassroots organizing
- ➤ derive
- > detournement
- > non sequiturs
- absurdity

TRADITIONS THAT CONNECT TO ANARCHIST EPISTEMOLOGY

DADAISM

- bankruptcy of existing style, not the promotion of a particular style itself
- unconventional <u>forms</u> produced by unconventional methods

Marcel Duchamp's Mona Lisa

As if the addition of mustache and beard weren't enough, the letters -- L.H.O.O.Q. -- at the bottom are meaningless in themselves, but when read aloud in French, make the sound of "Elle a chaud au cul," meaning, "She has a hot ass."



Fig. 2: L.H.O.O.Q

THE SITUATIONISTS

- reinvent everyday life, here and now
- construct situations that disrupt the ordinary and normal in order to jolt people out of their customary ways of thinking and acting
- theft of aesthetic artifacts from their contexts and their diversion into contexts of one's own devise Greil Marcus, *Lipstick Traces*

Guy Debord, *The Society of the Spectacle*



Googlism for: evaluation

evaluation is the key to learning evaluation is key to business evaluation is franchising for you evaluation is not necessarily the solution evaluation is the first step evaluation is vital to continued success evaluation is alive and well evaluation is a subset of research evaluation is a vital part evaluation is essential evaluation is continuous process evaluation is provided for advisement evaluation is a structured evaluation is a valuable tool evaluation is comparative evaluation is motivated evaluation is used to measure evaluation is needed evaluation is proven evaluation is conducted by a team evaluation is not intended to be an official evaluation is continuous

Googlism for: **anarchism**

anarchism is not a form of capitalism anarchism is evil anarchism is not enough anarchism is the only alternative anarchism is good anarchism is not a form of capitalism anarchism is a form of collectivism anarchism is no government anarchism is international anarchism is chaos anarchism is not enough anarchism is indispensable to understanding anarchism is dead anarchism is most definitely not utopian anarchism is really a synonym for socialism anarchism is not pacifist anarchism is logical end to liberal thought anarchism is a political theory anarchism is society without rulers anarchism is not normative anarchism is no government anarchism is not merely one solution

Go to googlism.com and enter a key idea from the project you are currently evaluating. Explore the possibilities. I'm interested in the evaluation of achievement in schools...

achievement is the bottom line achievement is spectacular achievement is passionate people achievement is to live our life achievement is victory over oneself achievement is a team effort achievement is not the top priority achievement is student progress achievement is not always success

Oh the thinks you can think up if only you try. Dr. Seuss