To: Timothy Van Der Kooi

From: Ilanna Barkusky

Subject: Review of Your Algorithm Definitions

I have read through your definitions concerning algorithms, and I want to commend you on putting together a very clearly organized grouping of definitions written directly to your intended audience! You have put together a great resource for those unfamiliar with computer science. Here are some further comments:

**Voice:** Your writing clearly displayed your own personal voice on material that would have otherwise lacked in personality. However, I found that your voice sometimes was too casual for the purposes of the assignment. For example, when you mentioned, “computers are stupid”. It might be more helpful to rephrase to something along the lines of “algorithms are required to bridge the gap in computer programming development when one isn’t able to use formal English.”

**Organization:** These definitions have been presented in such a way that clearly outlines the purpose of every sub-heading. They have also been presented chronologically which aids in the overall organization. Each definition built upon the previous one which makes grasping the definition and term easier for the reader. Good work!

**Research:** The research you gathered was geared directly to your target audience and that came across very seamlessly. You tailored the research you used in order to fit what you were aiming to achieve through these definitions.

**Visuals/font:** The visuals and font were also neatly organized, which once again helped the overall experience for the desired audience.

Overall, my impression is that these definitions have been prepared in a simplistic yet organized manner to best appeal to your intended audience. There are a few sentences I noticed that could have used some enhanced detail to round things out nicely. With a few minor adjustments, these definitions will serve their purpose highly effectively. Please let me know if you have any questions!

INSTRUCTIONS ON HOW TO USE THIS HAND-OUT:

The questions below are meant to guide you through the process of peer reviewing for this assignment: Definitions.

You should use this form as a guide to compose your peer review. In future peer reviews you will be learning how to design your own peer review templates.

Name of the Reviewer: Ilanna Barkusky

Author's Name: Timothy Van Der Kooi

Title of the Expanded Definition: Algorithm

List three questions that came to mind in reading your teammate’s expanded definition draft.

**Initial Impressions:** answer these questions in paragraph form

1. Did you fully understand the material on your first reading? Yes
2. Has the writer given you enough information in the definition? Yes
3. Do you have questions about the content of the definition?
4. If you were the writer's supervisor or editor, would you
* approve the definition without revision ?ask for small revisions?
* ask for major revisions?

**Comments about Purpose**1. Is the purpose of the definition clearly defined here?

* Make suggestions for changes if any are needed.

2. Do any parts of the document stray from the intended purpose?

If so, indicate where (by paragraph # and sentence #) and suggest how the digression might be repaired.

**Comments about Audience** 1. If you are somewhat familiar with the term/item defined, indicate how accurate the information given in the definition appears to be. **Briefly describe any changes you would suggest.**

2. If you are not familiar at all with the term/item defined, do you think that the definition takes into account your need for a significant amount of information to be educated about the topic?

If so, indicate what parts of the definition (by paragraph/section) worked particularly well for you?

If not, indicate problem areas (by paragraph/section) and suggest possible solutions.

3. **Is there any use of jargon in the definition**? If so, indicate briefly

List words and phrases you don't know at all

List words and phrases you don't know in this particular context

**Comments about Organization**1. List the methods of expansion you see being used in the definition.

Do they work appropriately?

Suggest specific changes if needed.

2. Does each section of the definition - introduction, body, and

conclusion - perform its intended task? If not, indicate what needs further attention.

3. Does the definition contain clear forecasting statements in its thesis and topic sentences?

4. Comments about Visuals: are the visuals useful and correctly labeled?

**Overall Final Impressions**1. What element of this document is most in need of improvement and why?

2. What is the most effective element of this document and why?