


TRIAL EVALUATION



LAWYERS- OPENING STATEMENT

OPENING STATEMENT OF PROSECUTION LAWYER:

Relevant, appropriate comments	/5	/15
Clear and concise description of the case	/5	
Delivery Style	/5	



LAWYERS- DIRECT EXAMINATION

DIRECT-EX OF PROSECUTION WTINESS #1 **PROSECUTION WITNESS #1:**

KNOWLEDGE of information was demonstrated. Witness was prepared for questioning.	/5	/20
FOCUS of answers was obvious. Brought out key information and did not discuss irrelevancies.	/5	
POISE. Overall composure, handing of questions	/5	
AUTHENTICITY is convincing and realistic. Clothing adds to performance and portrayal is well.	/5	



LAWYERS- CROSS EXAMINATION

CROSS-EX OF PROSECUTION WTINESS #1 LAWYER:		
Questions are well-written (leading)	/3	
FOCUS of questions was obvious. Brought out key information for your side of the case. Kept witness from discussion irrelevancies.	/5	
RELEVANCE of questions towards goals. Questions were focused.	/4	
POISE of the lawyer. Overall composure, handling of objections, preparation, voice, etc.	/4	
AUTHENTICITY is convincing and realistic. Clothing adds to the performance, and portrayal is well adapted to the setting.	/4	
		/20



WITNESS- DIRECT/CROSS EXAMINATION

DIRECT-EX OF PROSECUTION WTINESS #1

PROSECUTION WITNESS #1:

KNOWLEDGE of information was demonstrated. Witness was prepared for questioning.	/5	/20
FOCUS of answers was obvious. Brought out key information and did not discuss irrelevancies.	/5	
POISE. Overall composure, handing of questions	/5	
AUTHENTICITY is convincing and realistic. Clothing adds to performance and portrayal is well.	/5	



JURY

JURY DELIBERATION AND VERDICT MEMBERS:

Relevant, appropriate comments	/5	/20
Reasonable verdict according the evidence presented	/3	
Demonstrates understanding of the attention during trial	/7	
Delivery Style, poise	/5	

