

# a place of mind THE UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA

# EDCP 570: Seminar in Teaching ICT

Lecture Notes

S. Petrina (24 September 2018)







## 1. Ethics

- a. Definitions
  - i. Codes, Principles, or Standards of decision and action; emphasis on what is right to do and often on *rights* to do
  - ii. Analysis of moral discourse
  - iii. Wundt (1886, p. 1): science of norms [method for determining principles of morality]

- iv. Dewey (1893/1967, p. 223): human relationships in action.
- v. Hess (1904, p. 77): art of correct conduct.
- vi. MacLaren (1905, p. 241): the science which treats of the nature and grounds of moral obligation, which teaches men [and women] their duty and the reasons of it.
- vii. Joyner & Payne (2002, p. 300): the conception of what is right and fair conduct or behavior.
- viii. Gasper (2004, p. 18): a person's or group's...[set] of substantive beliefs about what is good or bad and right or wrong in relationships between people (and between societies, and human and other life).
- b. Privilege and duty go hand in hand. Moral obligation means that we adopt the principles of three golden rules:
  - i. Do not do unto others what you would not have done to you (Principle of Maleficence).
  - ii. Do unto others as you would that others do unto you (Principle of Beneficence).
  - iii. Weigh actions by what is fair (Principle of Justice).
  - iv. These are summarized as "do no harm," "try to create good," and "be fair."
- c. Systems of ethics and practice (Flinders, 1993):
  - i. Utilitarian
  - ii. Deontological
    - 1. Deontological ethics emphasizes intentions over consequences. What is right or wrong is based on our intentions since consequences are beyond our control. We hold individuals responsible for their intentions, where consequentialism and utilitarianism tend to absolve individuals from responsibilities for consequences. Our conscience and good will ought to be our guides, says deontology.
  - iii. Relational
  - iv. Ecological

#### d. Technoethics

- i. Luppicini (2009, p. 15): Technoethics, beyond Professional Ethics...
  - 1. Computer Ethics
  - 2. Engineering Ethics
  - 3. Internet Ethics & Cyberethics
  - 4. Educational Technoethics
  - 5. Biotech Ethics
  - 6. Media & Communication Technoethics
  - 7. Professional Technoethics
  - 8. Environmental Technoethics
  - 9. Nanoethics
  - 10. Military Technoethics
  - 11. Artificial Agent Technoethics
    - a. AI Ethics
    - b. Roboethics

Figure 1 Conceptual map of technoethics



Table 1. Key areas of technoethics

Author	Technoethic Areas	Selected Issues	Sample Questions	
Weiner (1948) Johnson (1985)	Computer ethics	Interface design Software Piracy	What are the responsibilities of technologists to those affected by their work?"	
Bunge (1977) Johnson (1991)	Engineering ethics	Engineering conduct Quality assurance	How should responsible be assigned for the negative impacts of Engineering on society?	
Internet Architecture Board (1989) Ribble & Bailey (2004)	Internet ethics and cyberethics	Privacy Cybercrime	What are the ethical responsibilities of Internet researchers to research participants?	
Cortes (2005) Gearhart (2000)	Educational technoethics	Access to education Plagiarism	How do advances in educational technology affect access to new educational resources and the growing digital divide?	
Jacobs (1961) Jonas (1985	Biotech ethics	Reproductive technologies Stem cell research	Who should have ownership and control of harvested DNA, human tissue and other genetic material?	
McLuhan (1962) Habermas (1990)	Media & communication technoethics	Freedom of speech Online discourse	How can virtual organizations resolve communication conflicts and satisfy stakeholder interests?	
Unger (1982) Johnson (1991)	Professional technoethics	Conflict of interest Professional responsibility	What are the ethical responsibilities of professionals using technology to contribute to helping mankind?	
Jacobs (1961) Schmidtz &Willott (2002)	Environmental technoethics	Sustainable development Terraforming	How do we assign responsibility in environmental construction and management?	
Drexler (1986) Hunt and Mehta (2006)	Nanoethics	Health and safety Environmental risk	What are the potential risks with nanotechnology applications and how should responsibility be assigned?	
Smith (1985) Hartle (1989)	Military technoethics	Military technology Nuclear weapons	Who should be responsible for controlling advanced military technology?	

#### e. Rights

i. Petrina, Volk, & Kim (2003), "Technology and Rights"



# IIIb. Media & Technology Ethics & Law

# **Regulation** of the Citizen and Media **Free Speech and Freedom of the Press Free Inquiry & Freedom of Thought Regulatory Codes / Codes of Ethics Privacy and the Right to be Forgotten**

Can I Say What I Want? Can I Investigate and Think What I Want? Can I Report What I Want? Can I Record What I Want? Do I Have a Right to the Deletion or Removal of Things I Said or Did?



## 2. Regulation of the Citizen and Media

- a. Free Speech and Freedom of the Press (Can I Say What I Want?)
  - i. Why is Free Expression or Freedom of Expression so Vital to the World?
    - 1. Democracy
    - 2. Self-fulfillment
    - 3. Truth
  - ii. United Nations Universal Declaration of Human Rights (10 December 1948)
    - 1. Preamble: "shall strive by **teaching and education** to promote respect for these rights and freedoms and by progressive measures, national and international, to secure their universal and effective recognition and observance..."
    - 2. Article 19. Freedom of expression: "this right includes freedom to hold opinions without interference and to seek, receive and impart information and ideas through any media and regardless of frontiers."
  - iii. UNESCO Convention on the Rights of the Child (2 September 1990)
    - 1. Article 13:1. "The child shall have the right to freedom of expression; this right shall include freedom to seek, receive and impart information and ideas of all kinds, regardless of frontiers, either orally, in writing or in print, in the form of art, or through any other media of the child's choice."
    - 2. Article 13: 2. "The exercise of this right may be subject to certain restrictions, but these shall only be such as are provided by law and are necessary:
    - 3. (a) For respect of the rights or reputations of others; or
    - 4. (b) For the protection of national security or of public order (order public), or of public health or morals."
  - *iv.* Charter of Rights and Freedoms <u>http://laws-lois.justice.gc.ca/eng/Const/page-15.html#h-38</u>

#### 1. Guarantee of Rights and Freedoms

- a. Rights and freedoms in Canada
  - i. The Canadian Charter of Rights and Freedoms guarantees the rights and freedoms set out in it subject only to such reasonable limits prescribed by law as can be demonstrably justified in a free and democratic society.
- b. Fundamental Freedoms.
  - i. 2. Everyone has the following fundamental freedoms:
    - 1. (a) freedom of conscience and religion;
    - (b) freedom of thought, belief, opinion and expression, including freedom of the press and other media of communication;
    - 3. (c) freedom of peaceful assembly; and
    - 4. (d) freedom of association.
  - ii. Article 2(*b*): "freedom of thought, belief, opinion and expression, including freedom of the press and other media of communication."
    - 1. Freedom of Speech (freedom of thought, belief, opinion and expression).
    - 2. Freedom of the Press
- 2. Canadian Journalists for Free Expression https://cjfe.org

#### a. Bill C-51

- v. Speech Protection
  - 1. Political Speech in the Classroom
    - a. Cases
      - i. Education Law Newsletter http://keelcottrelle.com/pubs/newsletter-oct05.php
      - ii. BCTF
        - 1. Yertle the Turtle
        - 2. 2.b. or not 2.b.
    - b. Naomi Klein on G8 / G20 "Global Menace" <u>http://readersupportednews.org/off-site-opinion-section/83-83/2409-</u> the-g20-larry-summers-global-menace
    - c.
  - 2. Symbolic Speech in the Classroom
  - 3. Symbolic v Verbal Expression
    - a. Symbology
    - b. Iconography
    - c. Expressive Conduct
  - 4. Tinker v Des Moines Independent Community School District http://supreme.justia.com/us/393/503/case.html
  - 5. Journalism and School Press
    - a. Hazelwood
  - 6. Academic Freedom
- vi. Speech Restriction
  - 1. Charter Restrictions
  - 2. Protected Grounds of Human Rights
  - 3. Academic Freedom cases
  - 4. Censorship
    - a. Film, TV & Video
      - http://cw.routledge.com/textbooks/9780415448239/film-censor.asp
    - b. Cartoons & Images
    - c. Doonesbury example (March 2012)

- d. Books & Magazines
- e. History of Censorship in BC http://www.bcla.bc.ca/ifc/Censorship%20BC/intro.html
- f. Radio & Music
- 5. Book and Resource Bans
  - a. Issues & Legacy
    - b. Banned Book Cases <u>http://teaching-media-</u> literacy.suite101.com/article.cfm/list-of-banned-books-to-discuss-inthe-classroom
    - c. Surrey Book Ban
    - d. Toronto Book Ban
    - e. Banned Networking Site Cases
- vii. Citizen Media Law http://www.citmedialaw.org/
  - 1. BC Civil Liberties Association
    - a. Citizen Handbook http://www.bccla.org/citizen/chapt1.pdf
    - b. Recording
  - 2. Recording
    - a. Rights
      - i. Canada: You can legally record any conversation that you are part of without consent of the other person. You cannot legally record a conversation between two other people as a third party unless you have their consent.
      - ii. Scope of recording rights, e.g., US: <u>http://www.newmediarights.org/page/field\_guide\_audio\_and</u> video recordings#Audio
      - b. Covert (Surreptitious) v Overt Recording
        - i. Media Law & Privacy Law
          - 1. Criminal Code of Canada
          - 2. <u>http://mpcam.com/content/canadian-laws-use-video-</u> <u>surveillance-systems</u>
          - 3. Recording Private (Confidential Conversation)
          - 4. Interception
            - a. BC wiretapping
            - http://www.legaltree.ca/print/908
          - 5.
        - ii. Rights
        - iii. Investigative Journalism
          - 1. CBC <u>http://www.cbc.radio-canada.ca/en/reporting-</u> to-canadians/acts-andpolicies/programming/journalism/investigativejournalism/
          - 2. Hidden Cameras http://cironline.org/blog/post/hidden-pitfalls-usinghidden-cameras-268
          - 3. Lying to Get the Truth
            - http://ajrarchive.org/article.asp?id=4403
          - 4.
      - c. School Context

http://www.servicealberta.ca/foip/documents/SchoolPromoVideo.pdf

- i. Students Recording Teachers
  - 1. Requa v Kent

- a. News Report (21 May 2007) <u>http://www.seattlepi.com/local/article/Free-</u> speech-vs-class-disruption-1238067.php
- b. Komo 4 news report (14 February 2007)
- c. Ms. Mong "Mongzilla" youtube video <u>http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=aHIJMW</u> <u>r1Zy0</u>
- d. Court Opinion (Justia.com)
- ii. Teachers Recording Students
- d. Consent
- e. Privacy Protection
- f. Surveillant Video
- g. Video Voyeurism
- h. Recording Devices / Technologies
  - i. Livescribe case
    - ii. Surreptitious Devices
- 3. Cyberlibel (Fosse 2010)
- 4. Cyberbullying <u>http://mediasmarts.ca/backgrounder/cyberbullying-law-fact-sheet</u>
  - a. Wiredsafety <u>https://www.wiredsafety.org</u>
  - b. There's No app for that <u>http://www.unlikecyberbullying.ca/sites/default/files/downloads/cyb</u> erbullyingtaskforcereportmarch22.pdf

#### b. Free Inquiry and Thought (Can I Investigate and Think What I Want?)

- i. History of Free Inquiry
- ii. Free Thought
- iii. Free Inquiry in the Public Schools
  - 1. Free inquiry is conducive to learning
  - 2. Students should be taught open-mindedness and tolerance
  - 3. Wieman v Updegraff case (952)
    - a. "[i]t is the special task of teachers to foster those habits of openmindedness and critical inquiry which alone make for responsible citizens . . . . Teachers must fulfill their function by precept and practice, by the very atmosphere which they generate; they must be exemplars of open-mindedness and free inquiry" (Judge Frankfurter in concurrence)
- iv. Critical Inquiry
  - 1. **Critical inquiry** is split, partially parceled out to the liberal, neutral notion of critical thinking and partially to critical theory. For example, critical inquiry is often defined as "using various modes of inquiry and interdisciplinary perspectives or methodologies to conceptualize, investigate, and derive meaning. It implies that learners are active learners, self-motivated learners, and learners who understand the ambiguities and uncertainties of achieving absolute knowledge, as well as the implications of various courses of action" (Skidmore College, 2005,

http://www.skidmore.edu/administration/assessment/ \*See "Critical Inquiry Report").

2. The journal *Critical Inquiry* was founded in 1974 for authors who "value examination of the assumptions underlying particular discriminations... and insist upon the highest standards of evidence relevant to conclusions drawn in practical criticism... criticism that aspires to be a special kind of

'learning'— not in any sense dispassionate or impersonal but something akin to that fusion of human commitment with objectivity that Michael Polanyi characterizes as 'personal knowledge'... disciplined criticism" (Sacks, 1974, p. iii). Hence, *CI* "aims to be independent of any theoretical bias. It promotes discussion and controversy about current critical trends, as well as reviving debate about more established critical traditions [i.e., critical theory and Kantian philosophy]."

- 3. In "Critical Pedagogy and the Futures of Critical Theory," Peters (2002) cautions, however, that critical inquiry as merely disciplined inquiry "does seem to rob critical theory of its original critical intent or to tame it, recasting it as a method of inquiry in the service of democracy... it is too easily denatured and stripped of its critical intent and reduced to 'thinking skills,' critical or otherwise." http://construct.haifa.ac.il/~ilangz/oslo/peters.htm
- v. Cognitive Liberty

## a. Regulation of Media (Can I Record and Report What I Want?)

- i. Framing / Reframing
  - 1. Selection of Content
  - 2. Recording and Framing
- ii. Citizen & Researcher Media Law http://www.citmedialaw.org/
  - 1. BC Civil Liberties Association
    - a. Citizen Handbook http://www.bccla.org/citizen/chapt1.pdf
    - b. Recording
  - 2. Third Party Data (see EDUC 500 Lecture #2)
  - 3. The use of third party interviews or data, in this case, falls under the Section 2.2 exemption of the *Tri-Council Policy Statement* (TCPS2). The Section 2.2 exemption states: "Research that relies exclusively on publicly available information does not require REB review when... the information is publicly accessible and there is no reasonable expectation of privacy." The Section continues, and clarifies that
  - 1. Cyber-material such as documents, records, performances, online archival materials or published third party interviews to which the public is given uncontrolled access on the Internet for which there is no expectation of privacy is considered to be publicly available information.
- ii. TCPS2 reiterates the exemption expressed in the TCPS (2005) <u>Statement on</u> <u>Third Party Interviews or Secondary Use of Data</u>: "Information derived from publicly available third-party interviews does not require REB review, because such research involves no interaction with research participants, and the data is publicly accessible through public records or archives."
  - 4. Audio & Video Recording
    - a. Rights
      - i. One-party Consent Law v Two-Party Consent Law
        - 1. Canada: You can legally record any conversation that you are part of without consent of the other person. You cannot legally record a conversation between two other people as a third party unless you have their consent.
        - 2. Scope of recording rights, e.g., US: http://www.newmediarights.org/page/field\_guid e audio and video recordings#Audio
        - 3. California
          - a. California makes it a crime to record or eavesdrop on any confidential

communication, including a private conversation or telephone call, without the consent of all parties to the conversation. See California v. Gibbons, 215 California

- ii. "If you can see it, you can shoot it:" If you can hear or see it when you are a party to it, you can record it. <u>http://www.andrewkantor.com/legalrights/Legal\_Rights\_of\_Photographers.pdf</u>
  - 1. You can legally take pictures of anything that is visible to the general public (without special equipment e.g., a telephoto lens), whether it or you are on public or private property.
  - 2. That means you can legally take pictures of children, athletes, people on the street, beach bathers, buildings, cars, policemen, accident scenes, government officials, airplanes, airports, trains, and so on.
  - 3. You can legally take pictures when you are on private property, if that property is open to the public (e.g., a mall or office complex).
- iii. Guidelines in Canada http://www.langleycameraclub.com/wp-

content/uploads/2012/03/Photographers-Rights.pdf

- You can make a photograph of [or record] anything and anyone on any public property, (i.e.) streets, sidewalks, town squares, parks, government buildings open to the public, and public places are all OK. Except where a specific law prohibits it — generally a posted sign will advise — lack of sign does not ensure permission to photograph.
- 2. You may shoot on private property if it is open to the public, but you are obligated to stop if the owner or owner representative (security or manager) requests it. (i.e.) malls, retail stores, restaurants and office building lobbies.
  - a. You may photograph at public festivals and public events whether they are on public or private property, paid admittance or not. The event organizer or their representative (security) have the legal authority to demand that you stop taking pictures – the photographer must comply or typically you will be evicted from the site. Lack of 'photography not permitted' signage does not validate your photography.
- b. Publishing

http://theme.ndc.gov.tw/tcap/commons.wikimedia.org/wiki/Com mons Photographs of identifiable people.html

i. The subject's consent is usually needed for publishing a

photograph of an identifiable individual taken in a **#private place**, and Commons expects this even if local laws do not require it. In many countries (especially English-speaking ones) the subject's consent is not usually needed for publishing a straightforward photograph of an identifiable individual taken in a **#public place**. However, the term published should not be construed to include commercial use, as consent is usually required in these situations.

- c. Editing Audio & Video Records
  - i. RTNDA Guidelines <u>https://www.rtdna.org/content/guidelines\_for\_ethical\_vi</u> deo and audio editing
- d. Covert (Surreptitious) v Overt Recording
  - i. Media Law & Privacy Law
    - 1. Criminal Code of Canada
    - 2. <u>http://mpcam.com/content/canadian-laws-use-</u><u>video-surveillance-systems</u>
    - 3. Recording Private (Confidential Conversation)
    - 4. Interception
      - a. BC wiretapping
        - http://www.legaltree.ca/print/908
      - b.
  - ii. Rights
  - iii. Investigative Journalism
    - 1. CBC <u>http://www.cbc.radio-</u> <u>canada.ca/en/reporting-to-canadians/acts-and-</u> <u>policies/programming/journalism/investigative-</u> <u>journalism/</u>
    - 2. Hidden Cameras http://cironline.org/blog/post/hidden-pitfallsusing-hidden-cameras-268
    - 3. Lying to Get the Truth <u>http://ajrarchive.org/article.asp?id=4403</u>
    - 4.
- e. School Context

#### http://www.servicealberta.ca/foip/documents/SchoolPromoVideo .pdf

- i. Students Recording Teachers
  - 1. Requa v Kent
    - a. News Report (21 May 2007) http://www.seattlepi.com/local/article/Fr ee-speech-vs-class-disruption-1238067.php
    - b. Komo 4 news report (14 February 2007)
    - c. Ms. Mong "Mongzilla" youtube video <u>http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=aHIJ</u> <u>MWr1Zy0</u>
    - d. Court Opinion (Justia.com)
- ii. Teachers Recording Students
- f. Consent
- g. Privacy Protection

- h. Surveillant Video
- i. Video Voyeurism
- j. Recording Devices / Technologies
  - 1. Smartpen case
    - a. Livescribe
    - b. Who has the right? (Sprankle, 2011) <u>http://www.techlearning.com/PrintableArticl</u> e.aspx?id=39344
  - i. Surreptitious Devices
    - 1. Invasive and Non-Invasive Recording
- k. Editing
- 5. Presenting / Representing
- 6. Mediating / Remediating

#### vi. Media Ethics and Self-Regulatory Codes

- 1. Codes of Ethics
  - a. Consent
    - i. CBC <u>http://www.cbc.radio-canada.ca/en/reporting-to-</u> <u>canadians/acts-and-</u> policies/programming/journalism/interviews/
    - ii. BBC
  - b. Illustration & Image Creation
    - i. Association of Illustrators http://www.theaoi.com/index.php
    - ii. Artist's Bill of Rights http://artists-bill-of-rights.org/
    - iii. Canadian Association of Professional Image Creators <u>http://www.capic.org/ & http://www.capic.org/code-of-</u> ethics-for-professional-photographers-and-illustrators
    - iv. Society of Illustrators http://www.societyillustrators.org/
  - c. Journalism
    - i. Society of Professional Journalists (http://www.spj.org/pdf/ethicscode.pdf)
    - ii. Committee of Concerned Journalists
    - iii. Association of Electronic Journalists
    - iv. Independent w/ Press Passes
      - 1. Independent Federation of New Media http://www.ifnm.org/
      - 2. Independent Journalism Open Society Foundation http://www.opensocietyfoundations.org/about/progr ams/independent-journalism
      - 3. International Association of Press Photographers http://www.ia-pp.com/en/presspass-credentials.html
      - 4. DIY Press Pass http://binghamtonpmc.org/bhuston/presspass/
    - v. National Scholastic Press Association http://studentpress.org/nspa/pdf/wheel\_modelcodeofethics.p\_df
  - d. Photography & Video
    - i. International Society of Press Photographers <u>http://www.ia-pp.com/en/benefits-of-the-iapp/the-iapp-code-of-ethics.html</u>
    - ii.
  - e. Broadcasting

- i. Radio-Television News Directors Association (http://www.rtndacanada.com/ABOUT/PDF/RTNDAwelco mebooklet.pdf)
- Radio Television Digital News Association (<u>http://www.rtdna.org/pages/media\_items/ethics-</u> resources615.php)
- iii. NPPA http://nppa.org/
- iv. http://nppa.org/professional\_development/business\_practices /ethics.html
- v. Concerned Journalists concernedjournalists.org
- vi. Canadian Broadcasting Corporation
  - 1. Journalistic Standards and Practices (full code: http://www.sfu.ca/media-lab/archive/2007/326-428/Resources/ethics/final journalistic polcies.pdf)
- vii. British Broadcasting Corporation
  - 1. Editorial Guidelines (http://downloads.bbc.co.uk/guidelines/editorialguid elines/Legacy\_Guidelines/2005-editorial-guidelinesfull.pdf)
- viii. National Public Radio
  - ix. Sweden Code of Ethics for Press, radio and Television (2001) <u>http://www.mediawise.org.uk/sweden-code-of-ethics-for-press-radio-and-television-2001/</u>
- f. Entertainment
- g. Music
- 2. Codes of Practice
  - a. Canada Code: Canadian Association of Journalists' Statement of Principles for Investigative Journalism (http://www.rjionline.org/MAS-Codes-Canada-CAJ#)
  - b. New Media Rights (http://www.newmediarights.org/)
  - c. Independent Streamer Journalists Code of Ethics
    - i. http://suebasko.blogspot.ca/2013/05/streamer-journalistcode-of-ethics.html
    - ii.
  - d. CBC
  - e. BBC
- vii. Press Councils
  - 1. BC Press Council http://www.bcpresscouncil.org
    - a. The BC Press Council is a self-regulatory body governing the newspaper industry in British Columbia. It was established in 1983 with two main aims: to promote ethical practices within the BC newspaper community and serve as a forum for complaints against its members.
    - b. Code of Practice http://www.bcpresscouncil.org/code.html
  - 2. National Ethnic Press and Media Council of Canada http://www.nepmcc.ca
  - 3. World Association of Press Councils http://www.wapconline.org/lang\_eng/
- viii. Legal Codes
  - 1. Federal Communications Commission (FCC) (<u>http://www.fcc.gov/</u>)
    - a. Carlin and Pacifica case
  - 2. Canadian Radio-television Telecommunications Commission (CRTC) http://www.crtc.gc.ca/
  - 3. Canadian Association of Broadcasters (CAB) (<u>http://www.cab-acr.ca/</u>)

- a. Code of Ethics
- b. Equitable Portrayal Code
  - i. Replaced Sex-Role Portrayal Code
- c. Violence Code
- 4. Canadian Broadcast Standards Council (CBSC) (http://www.cbsc.ca/)
  - a. "Money for Nothing" case
    - i. 1 February 2010 Broadcast on OZ FM
    - ii. 12 January 2011 CBSC decision
  - b. Justin Bieber case
    - i. 20 October 2009 Broadcast
    - ii. 22 June 2010 decision
- 5. Canadian Code of Advertising Standards http://www.normespub.com/en/standards/canCodeOfAdStandards.pdf
  - a. Advertising Standards Canada (<u>http://www.adstandards.com/en/</u>)
  - b. Broadcast Code for Advertising to Children (http://www.cca-
  - kids.ca/responsible\_advertising/kids\_code.pdf) c. Consumer Complaints
- 6. US Communications Decency Act (sect. 203)
- ix. CRTC, FCC and Net Neutrality
- x. Production Codes
  - 1. Entertainment Software Rating Board (<u>http://www.esrb.org</u>)
    - a. Video Games Ratings and Descriptions
    - b. Ratings Symbols
  - 2. Canadian Motion Picture Distributors Association (<u>http://www.cmpda.ca/</u>)
    - a. Code <u>http://www.mpa-canada.org/?q=content/film-ratings-canad</u>
    - b. Symbols
  - 3. BC Code http://www.consumerprotectionbc.ca/index.php/consumers-filmand-video-homepage/recent-films
    - a.
  - 4. Motion Picture Association of America (http://www.mpaa.org/)
    - a. History of Film Ratings
      - i. Hays Code
      - ii. 1968 Reforms, Code and Symbols
      - iii. Process
        - 1. This Film is Not Yet Rated
        - 2. Jamie Babbit interview
        - 3. But I'm a Cheerleader
  - 5. Motion Picture Association (MPA) Canada
    - a. Video Rating System <u>https://www.mpa-canada.org/home-</u> entertainment/
    - b. Consumer Protection BC Rating System <u>https://www.consumerprotectionbc.ca/motion-picture-ratings/what-ratings-mean/</u>
- xi. Can I use an ITS resource with a rating higher than the age group I'm teaching?
  - 1. Teacher is in *loco parentis*, meaning that films rated PG (Parental Guidance) can be screened with the teacher present.
  - 2. Adult Accompaniment
    - a. If a resource requires accompaniment by an adult to view, the teacher (present in the room) is the adult.
    - b. However, the BBFC, for instance, cautions: We would, however, strongly discourage such a practice unless (a) the children in question are only a year or so below the age stated on the certificate,

and (b) there is a serious educational purpose to showing the recording (eg showing well-known works or educational films such as 15-rated Schindler's List to 14-year-old GCSE students). Even in such cases, schools should seek parental consent prior to showing the film. We would also recommend obtaining the approval of the Head Teacher and Governors. It is vital to make sure that any children watching are not likely to suffer any ill effects as a result of seeing the film. <u>http://www.bbfc.co.uk/education-resources/teacher-guide/teacher-faqs</u>

1930-1968	Motion Picture Production Code				
1968	G	Μ		R	X
1970	G	GP		R	X
1972	G	PG		R	X
1984	G	PG	PG-13	R	X
1990-Today	G	PG	PG-13	R	NC-17



- xii. Gaming Codes
  - 1. Entertainment Software Ratings Board (ESRB) http://www.esrb.org/ratings/ratings\_guide.jsp

**Rating Categories** 



# EARLY CHILDHOOD

Content is intended for young children.



# EVERYONE

Content is generally suitable for all ages. May contain minimal cartoon, fantasy or mild violence and/or infrequent use of mild language.



## **EVERYONE 10+**

Content is generally suitable for ages 10 and up. May contain more cartoon, fantasy or mild violence, mild language and/or minimal suggestive themes.



# TEEN

Content is generally suitable for ages 13 and up. May contain violence, suggestive themes, crude humor, minimal blood, simulated gambling and/or infrequent use of strong language.



# MATURE

Content is generally suitable for ages 17 and up. May contain intense violence, blood and gore, sexual content and/or strong language.



# ADULTS ONLY

Content suitable only for adults ages 18 and up. May include prolonged scenes of intense violence, graphic sexual content and/or gambling with real currency.



# **RATING PENDING**

Not yet assigned a final ESRB rating. Appears only in advertising, marketing and promotional materials related to a game that is expected to carry an ESRB rating, and should be replaced by a game's rating once it has been assigned.

# Motion Picture Association (MPA) Canada's Video Rating System

Ģ		Suitable for viewing by all ages.
PG		Parental guidance advised. Themes or content may not be suitable for children.
14 <sup>A</sup>		Suitable for people 14 years of age or older. Those under 14 should view with an adult. No rental or purchase by those under 14. <b>Parents cautioned</b> . May contain violence, coarse language and/or sexually suggestive scenes.
18 <sup>A</sup>	<b>İİ</b>	Suitable for people 18 years of age or older. Persons under 18 should view with an adult. No rental or purchase by those under 18. Parents strongly cautioned. Will likely contain: explicit violence; frequent coarse language; sexual activity; and/or horror.
R	<b>İ</b>	Restricted to 18 years and over. No rental or purchase by those under 18. Content not suitable for minors. Video contains frequent use of: sexual activity; brutal/graphic violence; intense horror; and/or other disturbing content.
E		Exempt. Contains material not subject to classification such as documentaries, nature, travel, music, arts and culture, sports and educa- tional and instructional information.

- c. **Privacy** (Do I have a right to be left alone?)
  - i. Privacy
    - 1. Allison (2014, p. 11): Privacy is the ability to keep secret the information about oneself that one does not wish to share, as well as the ability to retain some level of control over other personal information that has been willingly shared.
    - 2. Newell (2014, pp. 411-412): informational privacy is defined as the right to control access to and uses of personal information. This definition explicitly recognizes that individuals should have some rights to control not just access to personal information, but also some subsequent uses of that information, even after disclosure to third parties in certain circumstances.
    - 3. WorkSafe BC
      - a. Privacy is defined as the rights and obligations of individuals and organizations with respect to the collection, use, retention, and disclosure of personal information.
  - ii. Personal Information
    - 1. BC Personal Information Protection Act (2003)
      - a. "personal information" means information about an identifiable individual and includes employee personal information but does not include
      - b. (a) contact information, or
      - c. (b) work product information; <u>http://www.bclaws.ca/Recon/document/ID/freeside/00\_03063\_01#se</u> <u>ction1</u>
- **d.** Right to be Forgotten (Do I Have a Right to the Deletion or Removal of Things I Said or Did?)
  - i. Graham (2014, p. 345): To the extent that the right to be forgotten is defined in broader terms, expectations... are raised about rewriting history, or comprehensively deleting specific information.
  - ii. Fleischer (2011): For most people, I think it's an attempt to give people the right to wash away digital muck, or delete the embarrassing stuff, or just start fresh. But unfortunately, it's more complicated than that.

# http://peterfleischer.blogspot.com/2011/03/foggy-thinking-about-right-to-oblivion.html

- 1. More and more, privacy is being used to justify censorship. In a sense, privacy depends on keeping some things private, in other words, hidden, restricted, or deleted. And in a world where ever more content is coming online, and where ever more content is find-able and share-able, it's also natural that the privacy counter-movement is gathering strength. Privacy is the new black in censorship fashions. It used to be that people would invoke libel or defamation to justify censorship about things that hurt their reputations. But invoking libel or defamation requires that the speech not be true. Privacy is far more elastic, because privacy claims can be made on speech that is true.
- 2. Six Key Questions
  - a. If I post something online, should I have the right to delete it?
  - b. If I post something, and someone else copies it and re-posts it on their own site, do I have the right to delete it?
  - c. If someone else posts something about me, should I have a right to delete it?
  - d. Should the Internet just learn to "forget"?
  - e. Should the Internet be re-wired to be more like the human brain?

- f. Who should decide what should be remembered or forgotten?
- iii. Stacy Snyder Case Study
  - Rosen, "The Web Means the End of Forgetting" (2010): <u>http://archive.nytimes.com/www.nytimes.com/2010/07/25/magazine/25priva</u> <u>cy-t2.html</u>
    - a. Four years ago, Stacy Snyder, then a 25-year-old teacher in training at Conestoga Valley High School in Lancaster, Pa., posted a photo on her MySpace page that showed her at a party wearing a pirate hat and drinking from a plastic cup, with the caption "Drunken Pirate." After discovering the page, her supervisor at the high school told her the photo was "unprofessional," and the dean of Millersville University School of Education, where Snyder was enrolled, said she was promoting drinking in virtual view of her under-age students. As a result, days before Snyder's scheduled graduation, the university denied her a teaching degree. Snyder sued, arguing that the university had violated her First Amendment rights by penalizing her for her (perfectly legal) after-hours behavior. But in 2008, a federal district judge rejected the claim, saying that because Snyder was a public employee whose photo didn't relate to matters of public concern, her "Drunken Pirate" post was not protected speech.
    - b. Finding of Fact <u>https://docs.justia.com/cases/federal/district-</u> courts/pennsylvania/paedce/2:2007cv01660/228127/44/
    - c. Opinion http://voices.washingtonpost.com/securityfix/Decision%202008.12.0 3.pdf
- iv. Definition
  - 1. EU "General Data Protection Regulation" (GDPR) (2016/2018):
    - a. The right to be forgotten is defined as "the right of individuals to have their data no longer processed and deleted when they are no longer needed for legitimate purposes."
    - b. Article 17: Right to erasure ('right to be forgotten')
      - i. The data subject shall have the right to obtain from the controller the erasure of personal data concerning him or her without undue delay and the controller shall have the obligation to erase personal data without undue delay where one of the following grounds applies:
        - the personal data are no longer necessary in relation to the purposes for which they were collected or otherwise processed;
        - 2. the data subject withdraws consent on which the processing is based...
        - 3. the data subject objects to the processing pursuant to Article 21(1) and there are no overriding legitimate grounds for the processing...
        - 4. the personal data have been unlawfully processed;
        - 5. the personal data have to be erased for compliance with a legal obligation in Union or Member State law to which the controller is subject;
        - 6. the personal data have been collected in relation to the offer of information society services referred to in Article 8(1).

- 7. Where the controller has made the personal data public and is obliged pursuant to paragraph 1 to erase the personal data, the controller, taking account of available technology and the cost of implementation, shall take reasonable steps, including technical measures, to inform controllers which are processing the personal data that the data subject has requested the erasure by such controllers of any links to, or copy or replication of, those personal data.
- ii. Paragraphs 1 and 2 shall not apply to the extent that processing is necessary:
  - 1. for exercising the right of freedom of expression and information;

e. tba