Only in Ktunaxa: ¢in and association with focus

Tags: semantics, syntax, focus, information structure, Ktunaxa

<u>General background: association with focus.</u> For several decades now, linguists have explored the question of focus. The framework of Alternative Semantics (Rooth 1992, i.a.) gives a productive lens through which linguists may describe the contrast between (1a) and (1b) below.

- (1) Context: At a party, Violet is discussing how the various attendees of the party know each other. She says:
  - a. I **only** introduced [Alexander]<sub>F</sub> to Martina. (... I didn't introduce Jessica to her, but I did introduce him to Bob.)
  - b. I **only** introduced Alexander to [MARTINA]<sub>F</sub>. (... I didn't introduce him to Jessica, but I did introduce Bob to her.)

The process through which a focused unit of language (indicated in English by small caps showing a prosodic accent) combines semantically with a focus-sensitive particle (*only*) is *focus association*, illustrated in (1) as an arrow.

<u>Specific background: *¢in* and Ktunaxa.</u> The particle *¢in* is the equivalent of *only* in Ktunaxa, an isolate language spoken in British Columbia, Alberta, Idaho, and Montana. This particle is like English *only* in that it serves to exclude alternatives to foci (marked here with square brackets and a subscript F). It is also like *only* in that it may associate with bound morphemes as in (2), or with words of different syntactic categories and grammatical roles as in (3).

- (2) a. She can **only** ride a [TRI]<sub>F</sub> cycle, not a [BI]<sub>F</sub> cycle.
  - b. **¢in** hu [qałsa]<sub>F</sub>-qałt-i. (source: KCC dictionary, p. 46) only 1.suBJ three-have.children-IND 'I have only three children.' (... not more)
- (3) a. Only [YOU]<sub>F</sub> can prevent forest fires (... nobody else can do it).
  b. You can only [PREVENT]<sub>F</sub> forest fires (... you can't extinguish them)
  c. You can prevent only [FOREST]<sub>F</sub> fires (... not house fires).
  - d. **¢in** [Piyał]<sub>F</sub> sak-ił i·kuł-ni ka·pi-s. only Peter prog-adv drink-IND coffee-obv 'Only Peter is drinking coffee.' (... everyone else has water.)
  - e. **¢in** ?at hu [mitx-ni]<sub>F</sub> ?ini¢ka. (source: KCC dictionary, p. 46) only HAB 1.SUBJ shoot-IND gopher
    'I only shoot gophers.' (... I don't trap them.)

f. **¢in** [qwuq́ałiłqa]<sub>F</sub> ni¢tahał xma ¢ukat-i.
only tall boy MOD take-IND
'Only a tall boy could/would take them.' (... not the short boys.)

However, *¢in* differs from *only* in that it has a narrow syntactic distribution: it generally occurs at the left edge of the clause, as exemplified in (2b) and (3d-f) above and (4) below, while *only* can occur in multiple syntactic positions, as in (3a-c).

(4) M-u qaki-is-ni ¢in ?at ki-?ik Piyał [?aqłas]<sub>F</sub>.
PAST-1.SUBJ say-2.OBJ-IND only HAB COMP-eat Peter indoor-OBV
'I told you that Peter only eats indoors.' (... he wouldn't go to a picnic)

The present work seeks to establish **how** *¢in* **and its associate combine semantically**, and **what syntactic position** *¢in* **occupies**, bringing data from original research on Ktunaxa to bear on this question. We propose that *¢in* takes scope over the proposition from the specifier of a functional projection (potentially a Polarity Phrase, as in Drubig 1994), and that focus association happens through movement at the logical form. The difference in syntactic distribution between *only* and *¢in* therefore has its origins in where the two focus-sensitive particles are generated. English *only* may be base-generated directly adjoined to its associate or in an adverbial position adjoined to VP (cf. Anderson, 1972), while *¢in* is generated as the specifier to a higher functional projection.

## <u>References</u>

Anderson, S. 1972. "How to get even," Language 48, pp. 893-906.

- Drubig, H. B. 1994. "Island constraints and the syntactic nature of focus and association with focus." Arbeitsbericht Nr. 51 des Sonderforschungsbereichs 340, Universität Tübingen.
- Ksanka A·kłukaqwum, Kootenai Dictionary. (1999). Elmo, Montana: Kootenai Culture Committee, Confederated Salish and Kootenai Tribes.
- Rooth, M. 1992. *A theory of focus interpretation*. Natural Language Semantics 1:75-116. <u>ftp://ftp.ims.uni-stuttgart.de/pub/papers/mats/focus-</u> <u>interpretation.ps.gz</u>