|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Your name****\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_** | **Name of the author of this essay****\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_** |
| **Marking category**These are the marking categories given on the “guidelines for papers” and the marking rubric: <http://blogs.ubc.ca/phil230/assignment-instructions/guidelines-for-writing-essays/>-- The questions are there to give you something to think about; you don’t have to answer all of them! | **Your comments**Please provide comments BOTH on what worked well and what could use improvement. In wording your comments, think about how you would feel receiving that comment—be respectful, polite, and constructive.  |
| **Organization***Possible things you could comment on*\* Is there an introduction with a clear thesis statement? Does the thesis statement describe well what the rest of the essay argues for?\* Can you follow the thread of the argument throughout the part of the essay that is written out, or is it sometimes hard to follow where the essay is going?\* Do you think the paragraphs are in a good order, or would you suggest reordering in some way? | **Positive comments (what worked well)****Constructive criticism (what could be improved (if anything))** |
| **Strength of argument***Possible things you could comment on*\* Does the essay provide adequate textual support for claims made about the text, whether through quotes or paraphrases? \* Are there claims made about the texts that may be inaccurate according to what the text says (in other words, may the person have interpreted the text incorrectly?)\* Has the author explained the texts well enough given the audience you were to assume? (people who aren’t taking the course)\* Are there any serious objections to claims or arguments in the essay that you think the author should have considered?\* Is there anything else the author should consider in making the argument, something that should be added, in your view? | **Positive comments (what worked well)****Constructive criticism (what could be improved (if anything))** |
| **Insight**\* Do you think the essay does a good job of providing thought-provoking arguments, or looking at subtle aspects o the texts that aren’t obvious on the surface?  |  |
| **Style & Mechanics***Possible things you might comment on*\* Is there anything about the author’s writing style that you think works well (or not)? For example, the author may use too many obscure words or a complicated sentence structure that makes it harder to read the essay.\* Are there enough grammatical, spelling or punctuation errors to be distracting, or to make the essay hard to understand?\* Are sources cited when they need to be? For example, are there page references for quotes and for paraphrases of specific claims and arguments from the texts? Is there a Works Cited section for sources that were not assigned for class? | **Positive comments (what worked well)****Constructive criticism (what could be improved (if anything))** |
| **Anything else!** | **If you have any other comments, please put them here**  |