The definitions assignment turned out to be a great learning experience where I was able to realize awareness of my audience, use different levels of detail in my writing, and give my readers a better understanding of the material by conveying my point with accuracy.

I decided to choose a topic that makes use of many economic factors as well as a topic that needs more recognition and understanding for professionals who may overlook the concepts strengths and weaknesses. In my original writing, I used less detail than I am comfortable with; I commonly include too much information for fear of leaving any information out. I included information expressing the importance of the subject to relevant careers paths and industries. I also made sure that I did not use jargon or biased information so not to confuse the reader. For my expanded definition, I decided to answer the most relevant questions that my audience will want and need to understand about my topic. I clarified the applications, misconceptions, context, and background of my topic.

I tend to apply what I learn to a practical application so that I gain a deeper understanding, therefore this was one of my biggest concerns while reading my peers article. During my peer review for Travis Gritter, my first impression was that of awe in his ability to explain intricate details extremely well. Although as a layman, I felt that this detail did overwhelm my learning style. I decided that the purpose, audience, and organization of the authors work was second to none and that it deserved special recognition. My final impression of Travis’ work is effective communication and clarity.

While editing my original writing, I improved upon my attention to detail in style and citations, as well as the more effective structure to promote clarity for my audience. I agreed with, and decided to follow Travis’ critique on style, citation use, organization, and visuals location. His suggestions will directly increase my writings ability to effectively communicate.

I learned that my writing style is strong, but needs improvement to increase clarity for my readers. An example being the location of the visual explanatory strategy in my work. Travis’ writing style gave me insight into what strong explanatory and detail oriented writing ought to look like.
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